The MENA Enterprise Surveys (MENA ES)

A Report on methodology and observations September 2015

Table of Contents

T	ABLE OF	CONTENTS	I
1	BACK	GROUND	3
2	MENA	A ES METHODOLOGY	3
	2.1 SUR 2.2 SPE 2.2.1 2.2.2	VEY UNIVERSE, SAMPLE POPULATION AND SAMPLING FRAMES CIFICATIONS OF THE SURVEY Coverage of countries: Sampling structure IPLING IMPLEMENTATION	3 4 4
3		DWORK	
J		ESTIONNAIRES AND TRANSLATION	
	3.3 Mo 3.4 TRA	PI SCRIPTING AND TESTING	7 7
4		EY AND ITEM NON-RESPONSE	
4			
5	MENA	A ES DATABASE	9
		TABASE STRUCTURE	
		IGHTS	
	5.2.1	Appropriate use of the weights	
6	BIBLI	OGRAPHY	15
A	NNEX A	COUNTRY-SPECIFIC INFORMATION ON BEEPS SURVEY	16
	A.1 I	Dibouti	
	A.1.1.	Sampling structure and implementation	
	A.1.2.	Status codes	
	A.1.3.	Survey and item non-response	
	A.1.4.	Local agency team involved in the study and its comments on the implementation	
		GGYPT	
	A.2.1.	Sampling structure and implementation	
	A.2.2.	Status codes	
	A.2.3. A.2.4.	Survey and item non-response	
		Local agency team involved in the study and its comments on the implementation SRAEL	
	A.3.1.	Sampling structure and implementation	
	A.3.2.		
	A.3.3.	Survey and item non-response	
	A.3.4.	Local agency team involved in the study and its comments on the implementation	
	A.4 J	ORDAN	
	A.4.1.	Sampling structure and implementation	
	A.4.2.	Status codes	
	A.4.3.	Survey and item non-response	
	A.4.4.	Local agency team involved in the study and its comments on the implementation	
	A.5 I A.5.1.	EBANON	
	A.J.1. A.1.2.	Status codes	
	A.5.3.	Survey and item non-response	
	A.5.4.	Local agency team involved in the study and its comments on the implementation	
		MOROCCO	
	A.6.1.	Sampling structure and implementation	
	A.6.2.	Status codes	
	A.6.3.	Survey and item non-response	59
	A.6.4.	Local agency team involved in the study and its comments on the implementation	59
		UNISIA	
	A.7.1.	Sampling structure and implementation	61

A.7.2.	Status codes	65
A.7.3.	Survey and item non-response	65
A.7.4.	Local agency team involved in the study and its comments on the implementation	66
A.8	WEST BANK AND GAZA	67
A.8.1.	Sampling structure and implementation	67
A.8.2.	Status codes	70
A.8.3.	Survey and item non-response	70
	Local agency team involved in the study and its comments on the implementation	
A.9	YEMEN	73
A.9.1.	Sampling structure and implementation	73
A.9.2.	• •	
A.9.3.	Survey and item non-response	77
A.9.4.	Local agency team involved in the study and its comments on the implementation	

1 Background

The Middle East and North Africa Enterprise Surveys (MENA ES) are a joint initiative of the European Bank for Reconstruction and Development (EBRD), the World Bank Group (the World Bank) and the European Investment Bank (EIB). The survey was undertaken on behalf of the EBRD, World Bank and EIB for the first time in 2012-2014, when it was administered to approximately XX enterprises in 9 countries in the MENA region to assess the environment for private enterprise and business development. It included an Innovation Module, covering product, process, organisational and marketing innovation, as well as management practices in manufacturing enterprises with at least 20 employees.

The objective of the survey is to obtain feedback from enterprises in the MENA region, including SEMED on their perception of the environment in which they operate as well as to help in building a panel of enterprise data that will make it possible to track changes in the business environment over time.

Through interviews with firms in the manufacturing and services sectors, MENA ES captures business perceptions of the biggest obstacles to enterprise growth, the relative importance of various constraints to increasing employment and productivity, and the effects of a country's business environment on its international competitiveness. MENA ES is used to create statistically significant business environment indicators that are comparable across countries.

The report outlines and describes the sampling design of the data, the data set structure as well as additional information that may be useful when using the data, such as information on non-response cases and the appropriate use of weights.

MENA ES was implemented by Gallup in cooperation with local partners. For details, refer to Annex A.

MENA ES has been supported by the SEMED cooperation funds account.

2 MENA ES Methodology

2.1 Survey universe, sample population and sampling frames

The whole population, or universe of the study, are commercial, service or industrial business establishments with at least 5 full-time employees in the non-agricultural economy. It comprises: all manufacturing sectors according to the group classification of ISIC Revision 3.1: (group D), construction sector (group F), services sector (groups G and H), and transport, storage, and communications sector (group I). Note that this definition excludes the following sectors: financial intermediation (group J), real estate and renting activities (group K, except sub-sector 72, IT, which was added to the population under study), and all public or utilities-sectors. Government departments including military, police, education, health and similar activities were excluded, as were those in primary industries including agriculture, mining, etc. There are no up to date and reliable statistics relating to this universe in the countries being surveyed in MENA ES. Consequently the universe size and characteristics have to be directly estimated from the survey results themselves. This requirement increases the emphasis that has to be placed on the quality of the sample frame, because the validity of the results is predominantly a function of coverage and age of the sampling frame.

The criteria used to evaluate the available sampling frame in descending priority were those of:

- Coverage
- Up to datedness
- Availability of detailed stratification variables
- Location identifiers- address, phone number, email
- Electronic format availability

Contact name(s)

The sampling frames used for the surveys must consist of the lists of enterprises in each country that most optimally meet these requirements. The final selection was made by Gallup and in the case of Egypt, additionally AUC, in collaboration with the World Bank. For Egypt, Jordan, West Bank and Gaza and Yemen, two sampling frames were used. The first sampling frame was often an official frame of establishments supplied by the national statistical office of the country and the second sampling frame consisted of establishments that participated in enterprise surveys conducted in the past by local World Bank offices.

2.2 Specifications of the survey

2.2.1 Coverage of countries:

MENA ES was implemented in 9 territories (Djibouti, Egypt, Israel, Jordan, Lebanon, Morocco, Tunisia, West Bank and Gaza, and Yemen). It was not possible to implement MENA ES in Algeria, Libya and Syria.

2.2.2 Sampling structure

In all countries where a reliable sampling frame was available, the sample was selected using stratified random sampling, following the methodology explained in the <u>Sampling Manual</u>. Stratified random sampling was preferred over simple random sampling for several reasons:

- To obtain unbiased estimates for different subdivisions of the population with some known level of precision.
- To obtain unbiased estimates for the whole population. The whole population, or the universe of the study, is the non-agricultural economy. It comprises all manufacturing sectors according to the group classification of ISIC Revision 3.1 (group D), construction sector (group F), services sector (groups G and H), and transport, storage and communications sector (group I). Note that this definition excludes the following sectors: financial intermediation (group J), real estate and renting activities (group K, except sub sector 72, IT, which was added to the population under study), and all public or utilities sectors.
- To make sure that the final total sample includes establishments from all different sectors and that it is not concentrated in one or two of industries/sizes/regions.
- To exploit the benefits of stratified sampling where population estimates, in most cases, will be more
 precise than using a simple random sampling method (i.e., lower standard errors, other things being
 equal).
- Stratification may produce a smaller bound on the error of estimation than would be produced by a simple random sample of the same size. This result is particularly true if measurements within strata are homogeneous.
- The cost per observation in the survey may be reduced by stratification of the population elements into convenient groupings.

Three levels of stratification were used in all countries: industry, establishment size and region. The original sample designs with specific information of the industries and regions chosen are described in country-specific pages in Annex A.

In all countries, the sample was stratified along Manufacturing, Retail trade (sector 52) and Other services. In some of the countries, there were specific target numbers of interviews for more detailed sectors within these three groups. These countries include Egypt, Israel, Jordan, Lebanon, Morocco and Tunisia.

Size stratification was defined following the standardized definition for the rollout: small (5-19 employees), medium (20-99 employees), and large (more than 99 employees). For stratification

¹ The panel firms from MENA ES with less than 5 employees are included in the 5 to 19 strata.

purposes, the number of employees was defined on the basis of reported permanent full-time workers. This seems to be an appropriate definition of the labour force, since seasonal/casual/part-time employment is not a common practice, except in the sectors of construction and agriculture.

Details on the regional stratification can be found in country-specific information in Annex A.

Along the defined stratification guidelines, priority was given to completing interviews with establishments who participated in previous enterprise surveys where available.

2.3 Sampling implementation

Given the stratified design, sampling frames containing a complete and updated list of establishments as well as information on all stratification variables (number of employees, industry, and region) are required to draw the sample. Great efforts were made to obtain the best source for these listings. However, the quality of sampling frames was not optimal and, therefore, some adjustments were needed to correct for the presence of ineligible units. These adjustments are reflected in the weights computation (see section 5.2).

For a subset of countries covered in MENA ES two sampling frames were used. The first sampling frame was obtained from the official sources in the countries (details for each country can be found in country-specific information). The second sampling frame, supplied by the World Bank, consisted of enterprises interviewed in previous enterprise surveys conducted by local World Bank offices. Gallup was required to attempt to re-interview establishments responding to the previous enterprise surveys where they were within the selected geographical region and met eligibility criteria. That sample is referred to as the Panel.

The quality of the sampling frames was assessed at the onset of the project through a combination of calls, visits to a random subset of firms, and local contractor knowledge. The sampling frames proved to be useful, though they all showed positive rates of non-eligibility, repetition, non-existent units, etc. These problems are typical of establishment surveys, but given the impact these inaccuracies may have on the results, adjustments were needed when computing the appropriate weights for individual observations.

Table 1 depicts the targeted number of completed interviews for MENA ES, along with achieved total number of completed interviews and number of completed interviews with panel establishments.

Table 1: Targeted and achieved number of completed interviews

Country/townitows	Number of completed interviews							
Country/territory	Target	Completed	Panel	Manufacturing	Retail	Core	Innovation	
Djibouti	270	266	0	64	71	131	143	
Egypt	3000	2897	641	2055	142	700	1709	
Israel	480	483	0	222	123	138	228	
Jordan	600	573	0	380	77	116	328	
Lebanon	570	561	0	243	123	195	358	
Morocco	600	407	0	209	81	117	242	
Tunisia	600	592	0	331	63	198	430	
West Bank & Gaza	360	434	0	163	112	159	177	
Yemen	360	353	139	128	107	118	198	
TOTAL	6840	6566	780	3795	899	1872	3813	

3 Fieldwork

3.1 Questionnaires and translation

Three main questionnaires were used for the survey – core, services and manufacturing – depending on the respondent's industry. In addition, two innovation modules were used – core/retail and manufacturing. A screener questionnaire was also used during the recruitment phase.

The questionnaires were translated into local languages (Table 2).

Table 2: Questionnaire languages used in each country

Country	Languages
Djibouti	French
Egypt	Arabic
Israel	Hebrew
Jordan	Arabic
Lebanon	Arabic
Morocco	Arabic, French
Tunisia	Arabic, French
West Bank & Gaza	Arabic
Yemen	Arabic

The translation process progressed as follows:

- 1. When the questionnaire and showcards were finalised, they were sent to the national agencies for translation.
- 2. The questionnaire was translated by a suitably qualified and experienced executive within each local agency into the national offical languages.
- 3. The questionnaire was back translated into English by independent linguists.
- 4. The back translations were compared to the master English questionnaire by the Gallup team and a list of differences was sent to the local agency.
- 5. The local agencies amended the questionnaires.
- 6. The Gallup team checked the changes had been made.
- 7. After the pilot, further changes were made to the questionnaire by the local agencies
- 8. The national agencies sent the final national questionnaires and showcards to GallupMORI, and they were proof read by Language Connect.
- 9. Final national questionnaires were sent to the EBRD and WB for their records.

In addition, Country Profiles were also translated by the local agencies and proof-read by the translation agency. The Country Profiles were used during or after fieldwork, as an incentive for the interviewees.

3.2 CAPI scripting and testing

Once the translations had been approved, the countries using a CAPI script worked on setting up the script and then the script was thoroughly tested by each country manager. To test the script, the country manager checked the question wording and the routing was correct and made sure that logic checks had been built into the script where appropriate.

The Gallup team also checked the final scripts, providing this was possible due to differences in software. If it was not possible to check the script, Gallup requested a dummy topline, which means it is possible to check the routing is working and that single and multicode questions are set up correctly.

3.3 Mode of completion

The survey was carried out face to face in home. Questionnaires were administered either using Computer Assisted Personal Interviewing (CAPI) or Pen and Paper Interviewing (PAPI), depending on local practice in each country (see Table 3).

Table 3: Survey administration in each country

Country	Survey administration				
Djibouti	CAPI				
Egypt	CAPI/PAPI				
Israel	CAPI				
Jordan	CAPI				
Lebanon	CAPI				
Morocco	CAPI/PAPI				
Tunisia	CAPI				
West Bank & Gaza	CAPI/PAPI				
Yemen	CAPI				

3.4 Training

Training briefings were organised with the country managers of all the local agencies.

Each country manager was required to attend a 3 day 'train the trainers' briefing to ensure that they were suitably well informed to train their agency field force and to manage the project. Each training session lasted three days, and was delivered by representatives from the EBRD and WB teams and Gallup. The training covered:

- MENA ES management team introduction;
- Introduction of the MENA ES by the World Bank/EBRD representative, on behalf of the World Bank, EBRD and EIB;
- Universe and sample for MENA ES
- Sampling frames and selected samples:
 - o Listings and quality control
 - o Sample management and fieldwork progress report
 - o Response rate: Follow up methods to ensure a good response rate
- The questionnaires implementation:
 - o Key concepts
 - o Questionnaire manual
 - Mock interviewing with the manufacturing questionnaire
 - o Innovation module and eligibility
 - Multiple choice test
 - Questionnaire proofreading
- Supervisors and interviewer training
- MENA ES pilot survey
- Data entry and quality control
- An open question and answer session.

The briefings took place in the following locations in the periods indicated in Table 4.

Table 4: Location and dates of the briefings

Location	Countries	Language of the briefing	Dates
Casablanca	Morocco	English, with translation to French	6-8 November 2012
Amman	Jordan, Lebanon, Yemen	English, with translation to Arabic	14-17 January 2013
Tel Aviv	Israel	English	20-21 January 2013
Tunis	Tunisia, Algeria	English, with translation to French	6-8 March 2013
Cairo	Egypt (PARC), Djibouti	English, with translation to Arabic	10-12 March 2013
Ramallah	West Bank and Gaza (Gaza team attended over Video-Conference)	English, with translation to Arabic	26-30 May 2013
Cairo	Egypt (AUC)	English, with translation to Arabic	24-26 March 2014

This training ensured that project managers were well-prepared to train their own field force. Importantly, it also ensured that the content of the training in each country was the same.

For the supervisors and interviewer training, Gallup provided the training materials to the survey and fieldwork managers, covering the different training components such as:

- Written training. Each supervisor and interviewer received a questionnaire manual that had to be read carefully before the training. In addition, supervisors and interviewers received detailed interviewer instructions, in order to fully understand the survey methodology and objectives.
- Theoretical training. Once the supervisors and interviewers had reviewed the questionnaire manual
 and interviewer instructions, the survey manager in each country thoroughly explained the study's
 metholodology and reviewed the whole instrument, question by question, to ensure its correct
 comprehension, explain key concepts, unification of criteria, and answer any questions.
- Comprehension test. After the training, supervisors and interviewers completed a multiple choice test to assess their understanding of the survey methodology and questionnaire.

Additional interviewer briefings —over the phone or in person — were also organised whenever needed and according to any particular requirements of the survey.

For further details on the training on a country by country basis, please refer to the appendices.

3.5 Piloting

Before the survey was launched, a pilot was conducted in Morocco, using both French and Arabic versions of the questionnaires. Interviews were conducted by local interviewers who provided feedback to their country managers. Table 5 shows the quotas achieved for the pilot interviews.

Table 5: Pilot fieldwork dates and interviews achieved

	Pilot fields	Questic ty			
Language	Start	Finish	Manufacturing	Services	Total
French	12 November	20 November	1	24	25
Arabic	2012	2012	4	7	11

The main purpose of the pilot was to check that the translation was correct, the routing was correct, and that the questions were appropriate for the local environment. Also, these interviews were timed to

ascertain the length of the questionnaire. All four questionnaires –manufacturing and services, and services and manufacturing innovation – were tested.

After the pilot was completed, a pilot report was sent to the World Bank outlining the key findings and recommended changes to the questionnaire.

Any modification to the questionnaire and instructions were approved by the World Bank task manager before the survey was implemented. Prior to the launch of the main survey, the World Bank task manager gave their explicit approval of the survey instrument.

4 Survey and item non-response

Survey non-response must be differentiated from item non-response. The former refers to refusals to participate in the survey altogether whereas the latter refers to the refusals to answer some specific questions. MENA ES suffers from both problems and different strategies were used to address these issues.

Item non-response was addressed by two strategies:

- For sensitive questions that may generate negative reactions from the respondent, such as corruption or tax evasion, enumerators were instructed to collect the refusal to respond as (-8) as a different option from don't know (-9).
- Establishments with incomplete information were re-contacted in order to complete this information, whenever necessary. However, there were clear cases of low response.

Survey non-response was addressed by maximising efforts to contact establishments that were initially selected for interviews. Attempts were made to contact an establishment for interview at different times/days of the week before a replacement establishment (with similar strata characteristics) was suggested for interview. Survey non-response did occur, but substitutions were made in order to potentially achieve strata-specific goals. Further research is needed on survey non-response in MENA ES regarding potential introduction of bias.

Details on rejection rates, eligibility rates, and item non-response are available at the strata level. This report summarized these numbers to alert researchers to these issues when using the data and when making inferences. Item non-response, selection bias and faulty sampling frames are not unique to MENA ES. All Enterprise Surveys suffer from these shortcomings, but in very few cases they have been made explicit.

5 MENA ES Database

5.1 Database structure

The structure of the database reflects the fact that three different versions of the questionnaire were used. The basic questionnaire, the Core Module, includes all common questions asked to all establishments from all sectors (manufacturing, services and IT). The second expanded variation, the Manufacturing Questionnaire, is built upon the Core Module and adds some specific questions relevant to the sector. The third expanded variation, the Retail Module, is also built upon the Core Module and adds to the core specific questions relevant to either retail or IT. Each variation of the questionnaire is identified by the index variable, a0.

All variables are named using, first, the letter of each section and, second, the number of the variable within the section (i.e., a1 denotes section A, question 1). Variable names preceded by "mna" indicate questions specific to MENA ES, and therefore, they may not be found in the implementation of Enterprise Surveys in other parts of the world. Table 6 identifies these questions, and also notes which

variables were used for these questions in BEEPS (where applicable). All other suffixed variables are global and are present in all country surveys over the world. All variables are numeric, with the exception of the variables ending with "x". The suffix "x" denotes that the variable is alpha-numeric.

Table 7 contains the variables that refer to the same question in MENA ES and BEEPS V, but use different variable names.

Table 6: Variable names preceded by "mna" - MENA ES, and counterparts in BEEPS V (where applicable)

Main questionnaire Innovation Module							
MENA ES	BEEPS V	Comments	MENA ES	BEEPS V	Comments		
mnab4a			mnao1a	ecao1a	Questions have the same meaning but they are formulated in slightly different ways		
mnaq5	ecaq5		mnao1bx	ecao1bx			
mnaq5x	ecaq5x		mnao2a	ecao2a	Questions have the same		
mnab7b			mnao2b	ecao2b	meaning but they are formulated in different		
mnac18a			mnao2c	ecao2c	ways		
mnac18b			mnao3a	ecao3a			
mnac18c			mnao3i				
mnac31a1	ecac31a1		mnao3b	ecao3b			
mnac31a3	ecac31a3		mnao3c	ecao3c			
mnad7x			mnao3d	ecao3d			
mnad14b			mnao3h				
mnad31b1	ecad31b1		mnao3e	ecao3e			
mnad31b3	ecad31b3		mnao3g				
mnae3a			mnao3f	ecao3f			
mnae3b			mnao3fx	ecao3fx			
mnae3c			mnao4	ecao4			
mnae3d			mnao5	ecao5			
mnaq53	ecaq53		mnao5x	ecao5x			
mnae20			mnahb13a				
mnaf3			mnahb13d				
mnaf4			mnahb13e				
mnaf5a			mnahb13f				
mnaf5b			mnahb13g				
mnaf5c			mnahb13h				
mnaf5d			mnahb13i				
mnak4a	ecak4a		mnao6	ecao6			
mnak8c			mnao7a	ecao7a			
mnak8d			mnao7b	ecao7b			
mnak9a	ecak9a		mnao7c	ecao7c			
mnak9a_str	k9a_val		mnao8x	ecao8x			
mnak9ax	ecak9ax		mnao9a	ecao9a	Questions have the same		
mnaq46f	ecaq46f		mnao9b	ecao9b	meaning but they are formulated in slightly different ways		

mnaq46fx	ecaq46fx		mnao9c	ecao9c	
mnaq46d	ecaq46d	The question for MENA has one more option for negative answer (-7)	mnao10a	ecao10a	
mnaq46e	ecaq46e		mnao10b	ecao10b	
mnak15a1	ecak15a1		mnao10c	ecao10c	
mnak20b			mnao10d	ecao10d	Was not asked in Russia
mnak20c			mnahc8		
mnaq31e	ecaq31e		mnahc9a		
mnaj1b	ecaj1b		mnahc9b		
mnaj1c	ecaj1c		mnahc9c		
mnaj1a			mnahc9d		
mnaj1d			mnahc9g		
mnaj31b1	ecaj31b1		mnahc9gx		
mnaj31b3	ecaj31b3		mnao11	ecao11	
mnaj31c1	ecaj31c1		mnao11x	ecao11x	
mnaj31c3	ecaj31c3		mnahc16b		
mnaj31f1	ecaj31f1		mnahc16c		
mnaj31f3	ecaj31f3		mnahc16d		
mnaj30g	-		mnahc16e		
mnal3a			mnahc16f		
mnal3b			mnahc16g		
mnal4			mnahc16h		
mnal4a			mnahc16j		
mnal4b			mnao12	ecao12	
mnal4c			mnao13	ecao13	
mnal4d			mnao14a	ecao14a	
mnal4e			mnao14b	ecao14b	
mnal4f			mnao14c	ecao14c	
mnal4fx			mnao14d	ecao14d	
mnal5c			mnao14e	ecao14e	
mnal9a1	ecaq69	Questions have the same meaning but they are formulated in different ways	mnao14f	ecao14f	
mnal9a2			mnao15a	ecao15a	
mnan8a			mnao15b	ecao15b	
mnaa20			mnao15c	ecao15c	
mnaa15a4a	ecaa15a4a		mnao15d	ecao15d	
mnaa15a4b	ecaa15a4b		mnao16	ecao16	
mnaa15a4c	ecaa15a4c		mnao17	ecao17	
			mnao18	ecao18	
			mnao19	ecao19	
			mnao20	ecao20	
			mnao21	ecao21	
			mnao22a	ecao22a	
			mnao22b	ecao22b	
			mnao23a	ecao23a	

	mnao23b	ecao23b	
	mnao23cx	ecao23cx	
	mnao23d	ecao23d	
	mnar1	ecar1	
	mnar2	ecar2	
	mnar6	ecar6	
	mnar7	ecar7	
	mnar8	ecar8	
	mnar11	ecar11	
	mnar13	ecar13	
	mnar15	ecar15	

Table 7: Different variable names for the same question in MENA ES and BEEPS V

Main questionnaire						
MENA ES	BEEPS V	Comments				
a7a	a7					
а7с	a7a					
h4a	ecah4					
h5	h4					
h6	h5					
h7	h6					
h8	ecah8					
l9a	l9a1					

There are two establishment identifiers, *idstd* and *id*. The first is a global unique identifier. The second is a country unique identifier. The variables a2 (sampling region), a6a (sampling establishment's size) and a4a (sampling sector) contain the establishment's classification into the strata chosen for each country using information from the sampling frame. The strata were defined according to the guidelines described above and in country-specific information.

There are three levels of stratification: industry, size and region. Different combinations of these variables generate the strata cells for each industry/region/size combination. A distinction should be made between the variable a4a (sampling sector) and d1a2 (industry expressed as ISIC rev. 3.1 code). The former gives the establishment's classification into one of the chosen industry-strata, whereas the latter gives the actual establishment's industry classification (four digit code) in the sampling frame.

All of the following variables contain information from the sampling frame and were defined with the sampling design. They may not coincide with the reality of individual establishments as sampling frames may contain inaccurate information. The variables containing the sampling frame information are included in the data set for researchers who may want to further investigate statistical features of the survey and the effect of the survey design on their results:

- a2 is the variable describing sampling regions
- a6a: coded using the same standard for small, medium, and large establishments as defined above.
 The code -9 was used to indicate units for which size was missing in the sampling frame
- *a4a*: coded using ISIC codes for the chosen industries for stratification. These codes include most manufacturing industries (15 to 37), retail (52) and other services (45, 50, 51, 55, 60-64, 72)
- idstd2010 and idstd2008: contain the WB idstd firm ids of the panel firms from previous enterprise surveys in Yemen and Egypt, respectively

The surveys were implemented following a two-stage procedure. In the first stage, a screener questionnaire was typically applied over the phone to determine eligibility and to make appointments.

In the second stage, a face-to-face interview took place with the Manager/Owner/Director of each establishment. The variables *a4b* and *a6b* contain the industry and size of the establishment from the screener questionnaire. Variables *a8* to *a11* contain additional information and were also collected in the screening phase.

There are additional variables for location (a3x), industry (d1a2) and size (l1, l6 and l8) that reflect more accurately the reality of each establishment:

- Variable a3x indicates the actual location of the establishment. There may be divergencies between
 the location in the sampling frame and the actual location, as the establishment may be listed in one
 place but the actual physical location is in another place.
- Variable d1a2 indicates the actual ISIC code of the main output of the establishment as answered by the respondent. This is probably the most accurate variable to classify establishments by activity.
- Variables 11, 16 and 18 were designed to obtain a more accurate measure of employment accounting for permanent and temporary employment. Special efforts were made to make sure that this information was not missing for most establishments.
- Variable a17x gives interviewer comments, including problems that occurred during an interview and extraordinary circumstances which could influence results. Please note that sometimes this variable is removed due to privacy issues.

Note that certain variables (including a3x, actual location of the establishment) have been removed from the public version of the dataset for confidentiality reasons.

The "last complete fiscal year" refers to 2012, while "three fiscal years ago" refers to 2009.

5.2 Weights

Since the sampling design was stratified and employed differential sampling, individual observations should be properly weighted when making inferences about the population. Under stratified random sampling unweighted estimates are biased unless sample sizes are proportional to the size of each stratum. With stratification the probability of selection of each unit is, in general, not the same. Consequently, individual observations must be weighted by the inverse of their probability of selection (probability weights or *pw* in Stata).²

Special care was given to the correct computation of weights. Considering the varying quality of the sampling frames, it was imperative to accurately adjust the totals within each region/industry/size stratum to account for the presence of ineligible units (the firm discontinued business or was unattainable, education or government establishments, non-panel establishments with less than 5 employees, no reply after having called on different days of the week and at different business hours, out of order, no tone in the phone line, answering machine, fax line, wrong address or moved away and could not get the new reference). The information required for the adjustment was collected in the first stage of the implementation, during the screening process. Using this information, each stratum cell of the universe was scaled down by the observed proportion of ineligible units within the cell. Once an accurate estimate of the universe cell (projections) was available, weights were computed using the number of completed interviews. Note that panel firms with less than 5 employees were also included in the eligible sample and special code zero was used in *a6a* and *a6b* (sample and screener size) to reflect those cases.

For some establishments it was impossible to determine eligibility because the contact was not successfully completed during the screening process (because the firm has moved and it is not possible to locate the new location, for example). Consequently, different assumptions as to their eligibility result in different universe cells' adjustments and in different sampling weights. Three sets of assumptions were considered to construct sample adjustments using the status code information:

² This is equivalent to the weighted average of the estimates for each stratum, with weights equal to the population shares of each stratum.

- 1. *Strict assumption*: Eligible establishments are only those for which it was possible to directly determine eligibility. The resulting weights are included in the variable *wstrict*.
- 2. *Median assumption*: Eligible establishments are those for which it was possible to directly determine eligibility and those that rejected the screener questionnaire or an answering machine or fax was the only response. The resulting weights are included in the variable *wmedian*.
- 3. Weak assumption: In addition to the establishments included in the first two points, all establishments for which it was not possible to finalize a contact are assumed to be eligible. This includes establishments with dead or out of service phone lines, establishments that never answered the phone, and establishments with incorrect addresses for which it was impossible to find a new address. The resulting weights are included in the variable wweak. Note that under the weak assumption only observed non-eligible units are excluded from universe projections.

Table 8 summarizes the eligibility criteria for each of the above three assumptions.

Note that for the purpose of the weights computations all panel firms were considered to be part of the current universe, although technically they are not randomly selected.

Table 8: Eligibility criteria

Status Code	Eligibility C	riteria	
	Strict	Weak	Median
1. Eligible establishment (Correct name and address)	1	1	1
2. Eligible establishment (Different name but same address - the new	1	1	1
firm/establishment bought the original firm/establishment)			
3. Eligible establishment (Different name but same address - the	1	1	1
firm/establishment changed its name)			
4. Eligible establishment (Wrong address - the firm/establishment has	1	1	1
changed address and the address could be found)			
16. Panel firm - now less than five employees	1	1	1
5. The establishment has less than 5 permanent full time employees	0	0	0
6. The firm discontinued businesses	0	0	0
7. Not a business: Private household	0	0	0
8. Ineligible activity: education, agriculture, finances, governments	0	0	0
91. No reply (after having called in different days of the week and in	0	1	0
different business hours)			
92. Line out of order	0	1	0
93. No tone	0	1	0
10. Answering machine	0	1	1
11. Fax line – data line	0	1	1
12. Wrong address/ moved away and could not get the new references	0	1	0
13. Refuses to answer the screener	0	1	1
14. In process (the establishment is being called/ is being contacted –	0	0	0
previous to ask the screener)			
151. Out of target – outside the covered regions, firm moved abroad	0	0	0
152. Out of target – firm moved abroad	0	0	0

Strict eligibility = (Sum of the numbers with codes 1,2,3,4,816) / Total Weak eligibility = (Sum of the numbers with codes 1,2,3,4,16,91,92,93,10,11,12,813) / Total Median eligibility = (Sum of the numbers with codes 1,2,3,4,16,10,11,813) / Total

5.2.1 Appropriate use of the weights

As discussed above, under stratified random sampling weights should be used when making inferences about the population. Any estimate or indicator that aims at describing some feature of the population should take into account that individual observations may not represent equal shares of the population.

However, there is some discussion as to the use of weights in regressions (see Deaton, 1997, p.67; Lohr, 1999, chapter 11, Cochran, 1977, p. 150). There is not strong large sample econometric argument in favour of using weighted estimation for a common population coefficient if the underlying model varies per stratum (stratum-specific coefficient): both simple OLS and weighted OLS are inconsistent under regular conditions. However, weighted OLS has the advantage of providing an estimate that is independent of the sample design. This latter point may be quite relevant for BEEPS as in most cases the objective is not only to obtain model-unbiased estimates but also design-unbiased estimates (see also Cochran, 1977, p. 200 who favours the use of weighted OLS for a common population coefficient).³

For a more general approach, if the regressions are descriptive of the population then weights should be used. The estimated model can be thought of as the relationship that would be expected if the whole population were observed.⁴ If the models are developed as structural relationships or behavioural models that may vary for different parts of the population, then there is no reason to use weights.

6 Bibliography

- 1. Cochran, William G. Sampling Techniques. 3rd edition. Wiley, 1977. 428 pages.
- 2. Deaton, Angus. The Analysis of Household Surveys: A Microeconomic Approach to Development Policy. World Bank Publications, 1997. 488 pages.
- 3. Levy, Paul S. and Stanley Lemeshow. Sampling of Populations: Methods and Applications. 3rd edition. Wiley, 1999. 568 pages.
- 4. Lohr, Sharon L. Sampling: Design and Analysis. 1st edition. Duxbury Press, 1999. 512 pages.
- 5. Schaeffer, Richard L., William Mendenhall and Lyman Ott. Elementary Survey Sampling, 5th edition. Duxbury Press, 1996.

³ Note that weighted OLS in Stata using the command regress with the option of weights will estimate wrong standard errors. Using the Stata survey specific commands svy will provide appropriate standard errors.

⁴ The use of weights in most model-assisted estimations using survey data is strongly recommended by the statisticians specialised on survey methodology of the JPSM of the University of Michigan and the University of Maryland.

Annex A Country-specific information on BEEPS survey

A.1 Djibouti

A.1.1. Sampling structure and implementation

The sampling frame was based on the Establishment Census by the Ministry of Justice and Trade, which consists of Direction de la Statistique et des Etudes Démographiques (DISED)-classified private and freezone private enterprises. Only Djibouti City was covered.

The quality of the frame was assessed at the onset of the project. The frame proved to be useful though it showed positive rates of non-eligibility, repetition, non-existent units, etc. These problems are typical of establishment surveys, but given the impact these inaccuracies may have on the results, adjustments were needed when computing the appropriate weights for individual observations. The percentage of confirmed non-eligible units as a proportion of the total number of contacts to complete the survey was 13.8% (58 out of 420 establishments).

Regions (official administrative counties)	Grouping used for stratification purposes in MENA ES	
Djibouti Region (Ville de Djibouti)	Djibouti City	
Tadjourah Region (Region de Tadjourah)		
Obock Region (Region d'Obock)	Not savered	
Dikhil Region (Region de Dikhil)	Not covered	
Arta Region (Region d'Arta)		

Sampling frame

				Other	
Region	Employees	Manufacturing	Retail	Services	Grand Total
Djibouti City	5-19	46	102	222	370
	20-99	14	36	96	146
	100+	2	4	31	37
Grand Total		62	142	349	553

Sources: DISED-classified private and free-zone private enterprises from the Establishment Census 2009-2010, Ministry of Justice and Trade.

Original sample design

				Other	
Region	Employees	Manufacturing	Retail	Services	Grand Total
Djibouti City	5-19	34	60	33	127
	20-99	14	36	60	110
	100+	2	4	27	33
Grand Total		50	100	120	270

Realised interviews (based on a2, a4a and a6a)

				Other	
Region	Employees	Manufacturing	Retail	Services	Grand Total
Djibouti City	5-19	29	64	68	161
	20-99	8	27	50	85
	100+	1	3	16	20
Grand Total		38	94	134	266

A.1.2. Status codes

	TOTAL
Complete interviews (Total)	266
Complete interviews (not eligible for innovation)	117
Complete interviews (with innovation)	143
Complete interviews (eligible, but refused to answer innovation)	6
Incomplete interviews	4
Elegible in process	6
Refusals	39
Quota is met	0
Out of target	56
Impossible to contact	36
Ineligible - coop.	2
Refusal to the Screener	11
Total	420

	1.Elegible establishment (Correct name and address)	267
	2. Elegible establishment (Different name but same address - the	1
<u>e</u>	new firm/establishment bought the original firm/establishment) 3. Elegible establishment (Different name but same address - the	
Eligible	firm/establishment changed its name)	25
ш	4. Elegible establishment (Wrong address - the firm/establishmen has changed address and the address could be found)	22
	16. Panel firm - now less than five employees	0
4:	5. The establishment has less than 5 permanent full time employees	23
gible	6. The firm discontinued businesses	28
Ineligible	7. Not a business: private household	4
	8. Ineligible activity: education, agriculture, finances, governments	1
	91. No reply (after having called in different days of the week and in different business hours)	6
a)	92. Line out of order	0
Unobtainable	93. No tone	0
otair	94. Phone number does not exist	0
Unol	10. Answering machine	0
_	11. Fax line - data line	0
	12. Wrong address/ moved away and could not get the new references	30
	13. Refuses to answer the screener	11
	14. In process (the establishment is being called/ is being contacted - previous to ask the screener)	0
	151. Out of target - outside the covered regions, firm moved abroad	0
	152. Out of target - firm moved abroad	0
	153. Impossible to find	2
	154. Establishment is HQ without production or sales in the location	0
	156. Duplicate in the sample	0
	Total	420

A.1.3. Survey and item non-response

The number of completed interviews per contacted establishment was 0.63.⁵ This number is the result of two factors: explicit refusals to participate in the survey, as reflected by the rate of rejection (which

_

 $^{^{\}rm 5}$ The estimate is based on the total number of firms contacted including ineligible establishments.

includes rejections of the screener and the main survey) and the quality of the sampling frame, as represented by the presence of ineligible units. The number of rejections per contact was 0.12.

A.1.4. Local agency team involved in the study and its comments on the implementation

Local agency team involved in the survey

Local agency	Name: Direction de la Statistique et des Etudes Démographiques (DISED)
	Country: Djibouti
Name of Project Manager	
Name and position of other key persons of the project	
Enumerators involved	Enumerators: 8
	The interviewers did some of the recruitment.
Other staff involved	

Sampling frame

Characteristic of sample frame	Variables: Name of establishment, address, activity, telephone number,
used	number of employees
Source	Establishment Census, Ministry of Justice and Trade
Year of publication	2009-2010
Comments on the quality of the sample frame	The sample frame was not immune from the typical problems found in establishment surveys: positive rates of non- eligibility, repetition, non-existent units, etc.
Year and organisation that conducted the last economic census	Ministry of Justice and Trade, 2009-2010

Sample

Comments/problems on sectors	
and regions selected in the sample	
Comments on the response rate	
Comments on the sample design	

Fieldwork

Date of fieldwork	June 2013 – September 2013, with additional call-backs and data finalisation
	lasting until April 2014.
Country	Djibouti
Number of completed interviews	266
Problems found during fieldwork	Some enterprises refused to disclose financial data, requiring significant number of callbacks. Item non-response was also a significant problem and addressed through re-contacting respondents in order to complete this information, particularly on questions d2 and n7a. Despite the confidentiality of identifying information, many respondents felt uncomfortable giving out specific financial information. In the end, 14 cases were dropped for critically low response rates, where less than 40% of numeric values were filled in.
Actions taken to improve response rate/deal with problems during fieldwork	

Questionnaires

Problems for the understanding of	
questions (write question number)	
Problems found in the navigability	
of questionnaires (for example,	

skip patterns)	
Comments on questionnaire length	
Suggestions or other comments on	
the questionnaires	

Quality control

Fieldwork monitoring	Callbacks were made at multiple stages of the fieldwork process. All verifications and consistency checks (for tablet-based interviews) were applied on PC-tablet applications. An error message pops up when entering a wrong value and some error messages show up in red for sensitive questions.
Data checking procedures	DISED conducted ongoing callbacks at the direction of Gallup Europe throughout the fieldwork period.
Number of respondents selected for back-checking	227 out of 280 interviews completed
Selection procedures	
Who carried out back-checks?	
Mode of contact	Telephone and face to face
Number of completed interviews back-checked	227
Number of non-responses back- checked	
Results of alternative method of contacting non-respondents	NA
Description of what was covered in the back-checks	A standard callback questionnaire of key variables (d2, n3, l1, l2, n2, n7, l6, and l8) was used to confirm the accuracy of recorded data.
Number of completed interviews that were rejected and why	14 cases were removed due to critically low item-level response, below 40%.

Database

Database	
Data entry program chosen	Raw data was directly uploaded from the tablets and computer CATI system, eliminating the need for data entry.
Comments on the data entry program	
Comments on the data cleaning	Cleaning was done to correct variable names and value labels, as well as to insert the 'control variables' (a1-a6) that did not come with the data. Callbacks were also made to correct invalid cases, get more detail on some of the string variables and to complete questions the respondent originally declined to answer.

Country situation

General aspects of economic, political or social situation of the country that could affect the results of the survey	
Relevant country events that occurred during fieldwork	
Other aspects	

A.2 Egypt

A.2.1. Sampling structure and implementation

Three sampling frames were used. The first was supplied by the World Bank and consisted of enterprises interviewed in Enterprise Survey Egypt 2008. The World Bank required that attempts should be made to re-interview establishments responding to the ES Egypt 2008 where they met eligibility criteria. That

sample is referred to as the Panel. The second sampling frame was compiled from GAFI database and Bureau van Dijk's Orbis database and was current as of 2013.

Regional stratification was defined in four regions. These regions are North, South East, South West, and Yerevan. Table below shows the grouping of official administrative regions into these four regions.

Governorate	Grouping used for stratification purposes in MENA ES
Cairo	Cairo
Alexandria	Alexandria
Damietta	Damietta
Dakahliya	Dakahliya
Sharqia	Sharqia
Qalyubia	Qalyubia
Kafr-El-Sheikh	
Menoufiya	Kafr-El-Sheikh/Menoufiya/Beheira
Beheira	
Gharbiya	Gharbiya
Giza	Giza
Port Said	
Suez	Port Said/Suez/Ismailia
Ismailia	
Beni Suef	
Minya	
Fayoum	
Assuit	Honor Egypt
Souhag	Upper Egypt
Qena	
Aswan	
Luxor	
Red Sea	
South Sinai	
North Sinai	Red Sea/Matrouh/Wadi al Jadid/Sinai
Matrouh	
Wadi al Jadid	

The quality of the frame was assessed at the onset of the project. The frame proved to be useful though it showed positive rates of non-eligibility, repetition, non-existent units, etc. These problems are typical of establishment surveys, but given the impact these inaccuracies may have on the results, adjustments were needed when computing the appropriate weights for individual observations. The percentage of confirmed non-eligible units as a proportion of the total number of contacts to complete the survey was 7.6% (455 out of 5954 establishments).

Fresh sampling frame

												Other									١
	4-	47	40	40	20			25	26	20	26	Manufac	4-		-4		Hote	Restau	60-		Grand
0-1	15	17	18	19	20	22	24	25	26	28	36	-turing	45	50	51	52	ls	rants	64	IT 24.4	Total
Cairo	2617	506	2242	1914	694	1034	294	345	1148	1703	948	1456	1230	2059	4733	13311	259	4364	2579	214	43650
5-19	2521	435	2022	1861	678	961	251	279	1077	1608	898	1230	1100	1993	4374	12860	186	4114	2305	187	40940
20-99	81	53	187	46	14	62	38	55	59	84	41	184	111	62	328	423	54	244	245	23	2394
100+	15	18	33	7	2	11	5	11	12	11	9	42	19	4	31	28	19	6	29	4	316
Alexandria	1513	209	778	210	377	232	140	187	252	458	280	424	415	546	1402	4199	98	1873	1045	48	14686
5-19	1412	145	662	197	361	216	96	139	213	409	260	322	372	524	1245	4095	66	1792	930	46	13502
20-99	76	46	86	11	14	14	34	39	31	43	18	77	42	20	150	91	29	79	111	2	1013
100+	25	18	30	2	2	2	10	9	8	6	2	25	1	2	7	13	3	2	4		171
Port Said	104	5	47	9	11	10	9	6	16	29	20	34	31	100	141	585	37	308	230	3	1735
5-19	99	4	11	5	11	10	2	4	13	26	20	24	26	99	130	571	32	298	208	3	1596
20-99	4		5	3			5	1	3	2		8	4	1	11	13	3	10	21		94
100+	1	1	31	1			2	1		1		2	1			1	2		1		45
Suez	167	6	14	2	4	1	8	1	33	25	11	34	22	37	49	208	60	176	145	4	1007
5-19	148	4	12	1	4	1	1	1	19	19	10	14	16	36	44	199	22	173	133	4	861
20-99	11		1				3		10	6		11	4	1	5	9	27	3	10		101
100+	8	2	1	1			4		4		1	9	2				11		2		45
Luxor	111	5	5	0	2	5	1	0	24	6	24	7	6	12	33	175	80	136	137	0	769
5-19	68	5	5	•	2	5	1		24	6	24	7	5	12	32	173	52	133	124	١	678
20-99	2				_		-			ŭ		ŕ	1		1	2	19	3	13		41
100+	41												_		-	_	9	3	13		50
Damietta	424	41	30	11	641	4	13	11	81	35	3120	42	15	75	175	1243	41	239	220	3	6464
5-19	412	39	28	10	635	4	11	11	75	35	3108	40	14	74	170	1235	41	239	214	3	6398
20-99	12	2	2	1	6	7	2		6	33	12	2	1	1	5	8	71	233	5	3	65
100+	12	_	_	-	O		_		O		12	_	_	-	3	Ū			1		1
Dakahliya	1320	382	779	32	243	49	99	148	297	596	352	300	50	410	713	1367	14	303	184	5	7643
5-19	1282	358	734	32	238	4 7	94	145	230	587	352	271	49	409	665	1349	9	293	178	5	7327
20-99	33	24	43	32	5	2	4	3	62	8	332	25	1	1	44	17	5	9	6	3	292
100+	5	24	2		J	2	1	3	5	1		4	1	1	44	1	J	1	U		292
	1669	361	253	30	289	77	127	115	278	356	267	491	78	327	469	1562	10	319	225	4	7307
Sharkiya 5-19						55											10	313	205		
	1543	265	205	17	274		42	53	207	290	249	285	65	316	324	1426	10			4	6148
20-99	87	50	24	10	14	13	60	39	45	54	12	132	10	6	87	86		6	15		750
100+	39	46	24	3	1	9	25	23	26	12	6	74	3	5	58	50			5		409
Qualyubia	1714	823	499	125	397	107	184	551	314	583	342	621	36	285	636	1543	2	604	446	3	9815
5-19	1643	564	401	105	387	87	142	488	277	525	327	468	30	272	600	1480	2	603	429	3	8833
20-99	53	244	80	17	10	19	34	58	19	49	10	129	5	12	31	53		1	16		840
100+	18	15	18	3		1	8	5	18	9	5	24	1	1	5	10			1		142
Kafr-El-Sheikh\	2502	502	248	45	346	80	79	89	547	313	510	300	74	363	821	2175	19	483	241	4	9741
Menoufiya\Beheira																					
5-19	2405	459	231	41	342	78	54	81	411	304	504	253	68	363	777	2137	17	472	231	4	9232
20-99	82	36	12	2	4	1	20	7	132	9	5	31	5		37	32	2	11	8		436
100+	15	7	5	2		1	5	1	4		1	16	1		7	6			2		73
Gharbiya	1259	1780	741	165	301	121	115	92	380	257	266	193	58	267	595	1600	13	387	185	8	8783

5-19	1207	1600	633	160	298	112	98	86	297	250	264	176	54	265	539	1555	10	379	174	8	8165
20-99	45	147	98	5	3	9	15	5	81	6	2	15	3	2	54	41	3	8	11		553
100+	7	33	10				2	1	2	1		2	1		2	4					65
Ismailia	359	8	39	6	25	5	24	18	46	36	37	40	21	57	207	320	15	144	39	5	1451
5-19	335	7	20	3	25	4	16	13	45	35	37	28	19	57	190	304	11	141	38	5	1333
20-99	20	1	2	3		1	4	3	1	1		10	2		14	13	4	3			82
100+	4		17				4	2				2			3	3			1		36
Giza	1786	181	1071	100	292	361	187	109	726	599	534	596	425	900	1285	4923	114	2126	960	105	17380
5-19	1598	160	957	84	272	319	95	68	393	558	508	368	365	873	1122	4726	67	2020	855	85	15493
20-99 100+	120 68	14	91	8	4	32	47 45	19	276	27	21	120	50	21	144	178	35	100	78 27	17	1402
		7	23	8	16	10	45 39	22	57	14	5	108	10	6	19	19	12	6	27	3	485
Bani-Suef\Minya 5-19	2530 2472	34 30	36 34	2 2	91 89	22 22	39 29	20 17	92 66	95	155 154	65	42	126 126	327 315	785 773	20 16	367	92	6 6	4946 4786
20-99	53	30 4	1	2	2	22	10	2	21	93 1	154	56 9	36 6	120	11	12	4	364 3	86 6	U	146
100+	5	7	1		2		10	1	5	1	1	3	U		1	12	-	3	U		140
Fayoum	502	37	19	12	281	19	16	5	137	42	155	25	8	58	130	441	8	202	47	2	2146
5-19	490	34	19	12	279	18	16	3	91	41	155	18	7	58	121	435	8	201	44	2	2052
20-99	9	3			2	1		2	44	1		4	1		9	5		1	2		84
100+	3								2			3				1			1		10
Assuit	1010	8	31	15	60	16	20	28	119	76	113	67	23	118	162	486	26	199	71	4	2652
5-19	979	8	31	15	59	16	18	26	117	76	112	62	23	116	146	480	22	194	65	4	2569
20-99	26				1		2	2	1		1	4		2	14	6	3	5	5		72
100+	5								1			1			2		1		1		11
Souhag	602	9	19	1	49	12	7	10	110	49	119	26	24	54	157	364	14	178	60	2	1866
5-19	585	9	18	1	49	12	5	10	109	47	119	24	22	53	141	360	13	178	55	2	1812
20-99	14		1				2		1	2		2	1	1	16	4	1		4		49
100+	3							- 44	404	46	70	22	1	40	422	202		426	1		5
Qena 5-19	336 330	1 1	6 6	2 2	53 52	9 9	4 3	11 10	104 102	46 46	72 72	23 18	14 13	43 43	123 115	293 286	8 8	136 134	33 30	4 4	1321 1284
20-99	5	1	O	2	32	9	3 1	10	102	40	72	2	15	43	8	6	0	2	30	4	30
100+	1				1		-	-	1			3	-		Ü	1		_	3		7
Aswan	239	1	7	0	11	9	1	1	37	14	82	11	18	39	47	274	55	165	116	3	1130
5-19	234	1	7	-	11	9	1	1	36	14	82	11	18	39	45	263	48	164	114	3	1101
20-99	4								1						2	10	3	1	2		23
100+	1															1	4				6
Red Sea\North	164	6	2	2	25	7	2	0	78	17	18	14	45	46	72	428	687	554	257	2	2426
Sinai\South Sinai		U				-		U		17			43	40							
5-19	161	4	2	2	22	7	2		73	17	18	13	35	46	69	415	378	535	197	2	1998
20-99	3	1			3				3			_	8		3	11	147	19	44		242
100+		1							2			1	2			2	162		16		186
Wadi al Jadid	56	0	2	0	1	1	0	0	16	6	19	0	4	9	5	27	11	27	5	0	189
5-19 20-99	47 6		2		1	1			13 3	6	19		4	9	5	27	10 1	27	5		176
20-99 100+	3								3								1				10
Matrouh	90	2	2	0	12	0	0	0	24	11	10	5	14	4	4	55	37	87	14	1	372
5-19	83	1	2	U	12	U	J	J	24	11	10	4	11	4	3	5 5	33	87 87	12	1	352
20-99	6	1	_		14				44	11	10	1	2	7	1	1	33	07	1	_	16

100+	1												1				1		1		4
Grand Total	21074	4907	6870	2683	4205	2181	1369	1747	4859	5352	7454	4774	2653	5935	12286	36364	1628	13377	7331	430	147479

Source: CAPMAS 2010.

Panel sampling frame

												Other									
												Manufac					Hote	Restau	60-		Grand
	15	17	18	19	20	22	24	25	26	28	36	-turing	45	50	51	52	ls	rants	64	IT	Total
Cairo	11	25	58	24	1	2	17	11	15	50	12	34	30	0	0	0	29	83	62	0	464
5-19	4	8	26	14	1		2	6	6	18	7	9	9				4	39	27		180
20-99	1	6	21	7		2	5	4	3	15	4	15	13				17	32	27		172
100+	6	11	11	3			10	1	6	17	1	10	8				8	12	8		112
Alexandria	23	19	22	4	4	1	12	12	1	22	4	13	8	0	0	0	9	38	7	0	199
5-19	1	5	10	1	1			4		6		1	4				1	22	6		62
20-99	3	3	2	2	2		5	6	1	9	3	2	4				5	15	1		63
100+	19	11	10	1	1	1	7	2		7	1	10					3	1			74
Port Said	2	0	1	1	0	0	0	1	0	2	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	10
5-19	1			1						1	2	1									6
20-99								1													1
100+	1		1							1											3
Suez	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4
5-19										1											1
20-99	2																				2
100+	1																				1
Luxor	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	12	12	5	0	30
5-19																	2	7	3		12
20-99	1																2	5	2		10
100+																	8				8
Damietta	2	1	1	0	0	0	1	1	0	1	5	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	16
5-19	2											2									4
20-99		1	1				1				2										5
100+								1		1	3	2									7
Dakahliya	6	3	3	0	0	0	0	3	6	7	2	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	34
5-19	2	1	2					2	1	5		1									14
20-99		1	1					1	4	1	2	1									11
100+	4	1							1	1		2									9
Sharkiya	27	21	8	4	0	1	12	15	10	31	3	17	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	149
5-19	8						3	3	6	8	2	4									34
20-99	6	5	2	2			3	7	3	9		4									41
100+	13	16	6	2		1	6	5	1	14	1	9									74
Qualyubia	11	22	11	0	3	0	5	17	8	10	0	11	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	98
5-19	3	5	1		3		2	5	1	2		1									23
20-99	1	15	6				1	7	2	4		2									38

100+	7	2	4				2	5	5	4		8									37
Kafr-El-Sheikh\ Menoufiya\Beheira	15	15	6	1	0	0	7	4	10	8	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	71
5-19	9	4					1	3	4	3											24
20-99	4	5	1				3	3	1	2											16
100+	2	6	5	1			3	1	5	3		5									31
Gharbiya	10	45	9	0	0	0	2	1	4	6	0	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	83
5-19	2	13	2						2	2		2		-						-	23
20-99	2	18	5				1		1	4		3									34
100+	6	14	2				1	1	1	7		1									26
Ismailia	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
	4	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	2	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	2
5-19 20-99									2												
	2											4									2
100+	2		24									1						40		_	3
Giza	17	11	21	1	1	0	9	10	14	14	4	34	9	0	0	0	24	48	22	0	239
5-19	1	3	5				_	2	1	4		4	2					20	13		55
20-99	6	3	10				5	5	2	5	2	11	3				11	23	8		94
100+	10	5	6	1	1		4	3	11	5	2	19	4				13	5	1		90
Bani-Suef\Minya	10	3	1	0	6	0	6	7	9	8	2	4	0	0	0	0	3	1	0	0	60
5-19	4	2	1		5		3	6	5	3	2	2						1			34
20-99	2				1		3		3	5		2					3				19
100+	4	1						1	1												7
Fayoum	5	1	1	0	0	0	2	1	4	2	1	2	0	0	0	0	2	4	0	0	25
5-19	1		1					1	2			1					1	4			11
20-99	3						1		2	2	1	1									10
100+	1	1					1										1				4
Assuit	4	9	0	0	0	0	0	5	2	2	6	2	0	0	0	0	2	5	0	0	37
5-19	1	9						4	1	1	2	1						3			22
20-99	2							1	1	1	2	1					2	2			12
100+	1										2										3
Souhag	7	4	2	0	2	0	1	5	1	7	1	5	0	0	1	0	2	1	4	0	44
5-19	1	2	1		2			2	1	2	1	2					2	1	4		21
20-99	2	1	1				1	3		5		3									16
100+	4	1							1			-			1						7
Qena	2	1	3	0	1	0	0	0	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	0	0	14
5-19	-		2		1				1	1								2			7
20-99		1	1		-				-	1							2	-			5
100+	2	-	-							-							_				2
Aswan	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	2	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	13	13	3	0	37
5-19	+ -	U		U		U		1	2	1	2	J	- 0				2	11	2	-	21
20-99					1			1	2	1	2						5	2	1		10
100+					1					1							5 6	۷	1		6
Red Sea\North	+											-					D				ь
Red Sea\North Sinai\South Sinai	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	52	17	2	0	72
																	1.0				3.0
5-19									1								16	9	•		26
20-99																	13	6	2		21

100+																	23	2			25
Wadi al Jadid	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
5-19																					0
20-99																					0
100+																					0
Matrouh	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	0	0	0	7
Matrouh 5-19	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	0	0	0	7
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	0	0	0	7 0 6
5-19	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6 1	0	0	0	7 0 6 1

Source: ES Egypt 2008.

Universe estimates

												Other									
												Manufac					Hote	Restau	60-		Grand
	15	17	18	19	20	22	24	25	26	28	36	-turing	45	50	51	52	ls	rants	64	IT	Total
Cairo	100	96	246	67	17	73	58	75	77	130	87	57	235	143	359	451	77	295	301	27	2971
5-19	4	8	26	14	1	0	2	6	6	19	0	7	9	9	0	0	4	39	27	0	181
20-99	81	53	187	46	14	62	38	55	59	84	62	41	184	111	328	423	54	244	245	23	2394
100+	15	35	33	7	2	11	18	14	12	27	25	9	42	23	31	28	19	12	29	4	396
Alexandria	1517	217	790	214	380	235	145	197	253	475	546	283	427	427	1402	4199	104	1910	1052	48	14821
5-19	1	5	10	1	1	0	0	4	0	6	0	0	1	4	0	0	1	22	6	0	62
20-99	3	3	2	2	2	0	5	6	1	9	0	3	2	4	0	0	5	15	1	0	63
100+	25	18	30	3	2	5	10	9	8	8	2	2	25	5	7	13	3	2	4	0	181
Port Said	104	8	47	9	11	10	9	6	16	29	100	20	34	31	141	585	37	308	231	3	1739
5-19	99	4	11	5	11	10	2	4	13	26	99	20	24	26	130	571	32	298	208	3	1596
20-99	4	3	5	3	0	0	5	1	3	2	1	0	8	4	11	13	3	10	21	0	97
100+	1	1	31	1	0	0	2	1	0	1	0	0	2	1	0	1	2	0	2	0	46
Suez	167	6	14	2	4	1	8	1	33	25	37	11	34	22	49	208	60	176	145	4	1007
5-19	148	4	12	1	4	1	1	1	19	19	36	10	14	16	44	199	22	173	133	4	861
20-99	11	0	1	0	0	0	3	0	10	6	1	0	11	4	5	9	27	3	10	0	101
100+	8	2	1	1	0	0	4	0	4	0	0	1	9	2	0	0	11	0	2	0	45
Luxor	111	5	5	0	2	5	1	0	24	6	12	24	7	6	33	175	80	138	137	0	771
5-19	68	5	5	0	2	5	1	0	24	6	12	24	7	5	32	173	52	133	124	0	678
20-99	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	2	19	5	13	0	43
100+	41	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	9	0	0	0	50
Damietta	16	3	2	1	6	1	2	6	6	8	1	21	6	1	5	8	0	0	6	0	99
5-19	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4
20-99	12	2	2	1	6	1	2	3	6	7	1	17	2	1	5	8	0	0	5	0	81
100+	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	1	0	4	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	14
Dakahliya	40	27	47	0	5	2	5	6	68	17	1	3	30	1	48	18	5	10	6	0	339
5-19	2	1	2	0	0	0	0	2	1	5	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	14
20-99	33	24	43	0	5	2	4	4	62	10	1	3	25	1	44	17	5	9	6	0	298
100+	5	2	2	0	0	0	1	0	5	2	0	0	4	0	4	1	0	1	0	0	27
Sharkiya	134	96	48	14	15	22	88	65	77	77	11	20	210	13	145	136	0	6	20	0	1197

5-19	8	0	0	0	0	0	3	3	6	8	0	2	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	34
20-99	87	50	24	10	14	13	60	39	45	54	6	12	132	10	87	86	0	6	15	0	750
100+	39	46	24	4	1	9	25	23	26	15	5	6	74	3	58	50	0	0	5	0	413
Qualyubia	74	264	99	20	13	21	44	70	38	60	13	15	154	6	36	63	0	1	17	0	1008
5-19	3	5	1	0	3	0	2	5	1	2	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	23
20-99	53	244	80	17	10	19	34	58	19	49	12	10	129	5	31	53	0	1	16	0	840
100+	18	15	18	3	0	2	8	7	18	9	1	5	24	1	5	10	0	0	1	0	145
Kafr-El-Sheikh\																					
Menoufiya\Beheira	2502	512	256	45	346	86	80	89	549	317	363	510	304	74	821	2175	19	483	241	4	9776
5-19	2405	459	231	41	342	78	54	81	411	304	363	504	253	68	777	2137	17	472	231	4	9232
20-99	82	36	17	2	4	5	20	7	132	9	0	5	31	5	37	32	2	11	8	0	445
100+	15	17	8	2	0	3	6	1	6	4	0	1	20	1	7	6	0	0	2	0	99
Gharbiya	54	193	117	5	3	9	17	7	85	22	2	2	19	4	56	45	3	8	11	0	662
5-19	2	13	2	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	23
20-99	45	147	98	5	3	9	15	5	81	19	2	2	15	3	54	41	3	8	11	0	566
100+	7	33	17	0	0	0	2	2	2	1	0	0	2	1	2	4	0	0	0	0	73
Ismailia	359	8	39	7	25	5	24	18	46	36	57	38	40	21	207	320	15	144	39	5	1453
5-19	335	7	20	3	25	4	16	13	45	35	57	37	28	19	190	304	11	141	38	5	1333
20-99	20	1	2	3	0	1	4	3	1	1	0	1	10	2	14	13	4	3	0	0	83
100+	4	0	17	1	0	0	4	2	0	0	0	0	2	0	3	3	0	0	1	0	37
Giza	189	27	119	17	20	43	92	43	334	45	27	26	232	63	163	197	48	126	118	20	1949
5-19	1	3	5	0	0	0	0	2	1	4	0	0	4	3	0	0	0	20	13	0	56
20-99	120	14	91	9	4	32	47	19	276	27	21	21	120	50	144	178	35	100	78	17	1403
100+	68	10	23	8	16	11	45	22	57	14	6	5	108	10	19	19	13	6	27	3	490
Bani-Suef\Minya	2530	37	4	0	7	0	13	12	31	17	0	4	12	6	12	12	4	4	6	0	2711
5-19	2472	30	1	0	5	0	3	7	5	3	0	2	3	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	2532
20-99	53	5	1	0	2	0	10	3	21	13	0	2	9	6	11	12	4	3	6	0	161
100+	5	2	2	0	0	0	0	2	5	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	18
Fayoum	502	39	1	0	2	1	3	3	48	3	0	1	8	1	9	6	2	5	3	0	637
5-19	490	34	1	0	0	0	0	1	2	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	4	0	0	534
20-99	9	3	0	0	2	1	1	2	44	3	0	1	4	1	9	5	0	1	2	0	88
100+	3	2	0	0	0	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	3	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	15
Assuit	1010	11	31	15	60	17	20	29	119	77	118	116	67	23	162	486	26	199	71	4	2661
5-19	979	11 0	31	15	59	16	18	26	117	76	116	112	62	23	146	480	22	194	65	4	2572
20-99 100+	26 5	0	0 0	0 0	1 0	1 0	2 0	3 0	1 1	1 0	2 0	2 2	4 1	0 0	14 2	6 0	3 1	5 0	5 1	0	76 13
	603	11					7		111	58			30	24		364	14	178		2	
Souhag			19	1	49	12		13			54	119			158				60		1887
5-19 20-99	585	9	18	1 0	49 0	12 0	5 2	10 3	109	47 11	53	119 0	24 5	22 1	141 16	360	13	178 0	55 4	2	1812
20-99 100+	14	1 1	1 0	0	0	0	0	3 0	1 1	11 0	1 0	0	5 1	1	16 1	4 0	1 0	0	1	0	65 10
Qena	337	2	<u>8</u>	2	53	9	4		104	47	43	72	23	14	123	293	10	136	33	4	1328
5-19	330	1	6	2	52	9	3	10	102	46		72	18	13	115	286	8	134	30	4	1284
5-19 20-99	5	1	2	0	0	0	3 1	10	102	46 1	43 0	0	18 2	13	8	286 6	8 2	134	30 3	0	36
100+	2	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	3	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	8
Aswan	240	1	7	0	12	9	1	1	37	16	39	82	11	18	47	274	59	166	116	3	1139
5-19	234	1	7	0	11	9	1	1	36	14	39	82	11	18	47	263	48	164	114	3	1101
2-13	L 234	1	,	U	TT	3	1	1	30	14	22	02	11	10	43	203	40	104	114	Э	1101

20-99	5	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	2	0	0	0	0	2	10	5	2	2	0	30
100+	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	6	0	0	0	8
Red Sea\North																					
Sinai\South Sinai	164	6	2	2	25	7	2	0	78	17	46	18	14	45	72	428	687	556	257	2	2428
5-19	161	4	2	2	22	7	2	0	73	17	46	18	13	35	69	415	378	535	197	2	1998
20-99	3	1	0	0	3	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	8	3	11	147	19	44	0	242
100+	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	1	2	0	2	162	2	16	0	188
Wadi al Jadid	56	0	2	0	1	1	1	0	16	6	9	19	0	4	5	27	11	27	5	0	190
5-19	47	0	2	0	1	1	0	0	13	6	9	19	0	4	5	27	10	27	5	0	176
20-99	6	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	11
100+	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
Matrouh	91	2	2	0	12	0	0	0	24	11	4	10	5	14	4	55	40	87	14	1	376
5-19	83	1	2	0	12	0	0	0	24	11	4	10	4	11	3	54	33	87	12	1	352
20-99	6	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	1	1	6	0	1	0	19
100+	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	1	0	5
Grand Total	21130	4994	6938	2704	4218	2194	1402	1802	4887	5486	5956	7486	4814	2681	12287	36364	1650	13489	7379	430	148291

Original sample design

Original sample a												Other									
	45	47	40	40	20	22	24	25	26	20	26	Manufac	45		-4		Hote	Restau	60-		Grand
0-1	15	17	18	19	20	22	24	25	26	28	36	-turing	45	50	51	52	ls o	rants	64	IT	Total
Cairo	18	33	41	53	23	40	23	29	17	32	19	12	11	19	18	42	9	20	11	30	500
5-19	14	6	22	30	10	16	3	6	7	16	6	5	3	9	12	36	3	14	5	9	232
20-99	2	11	7	16	11	13	10	12	2	5	4	2	5	6	3	3	3	3	3	17	138
100+	2	16	12	7	2	11	10	11	8	11	9	5	3	4	3	3	3	3	3	4	130
Alexandria	10	17	10	13	9	10	15	17	7	9	7	6	5	7	6	14	3	8	3	9	185
5-19	6	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	2	1	1	2	8	1	3	1	7	62
20-99	2	4	2	8	4	5	3	5	2	2	2	2	3	4	2	3	1	3	1	2	60
100+	2	10	5	2	2	2	9	9	2	4	2	2	1	2	2	3	1	2	1	0	63
Port Said	6	3	19	9	3	3	8	4	5	6	3	6	7	5	4	5	6	6	9	3	120
5-19	3	2	3	5	3	3	1	2	3	3	3	2	2	4	2	2	1	3	1	3	51
20-99	2	0	5	3	0	0	5	1	2	2	0	2	4	1	2	2	3	3	7	0	44
100+	1	1	11	1	0	0	2	1	0	1	0	2	1	0	0	1	2	0	1	0	25
Suez	10	6	6	2	4	1	8	1	17	9	4	17	9	6	4	4	11	6	11	4	140
5-19	3	4	4	1	4	1	1	1	3	3	3	2	3	5	2	2	1	3	1	4	51
20-99	2	0	1	0	0	0	3	0	10	6	0	6	4	1	2	2	6	3	8	0	54
100+	5	2	1	1	0	0	4	0	4	0	1	9	2	0	0	0	4	0	2	0	35
Luxor	11	5	4	0	2	5	1	0	3	4	3	2	6	9	3	3	20	8	16	0	105
5-19	3	5	4	0	2	5	1	0	3	4	3	2	5	9	2	2	1	3	3	0	57
20-99	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	1	10	5	13	0	33
100+	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	9	0	0	0	15
Damietta	5	5	5	4	8	2	5	4	5	4	34	4	3	4	4	8	1	3	7	3	118
5-19	3	3	3	3	3	2	3	3	3	3	29	2	2	3	2	5	1	3	1	3	80
20-99	2	2	2	1	5	0	2	0	2	0	2	1	1	1	2	3	0	0	5	0	31
100+	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	3	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	7
Dakahliya	10	11	8	3	8	5	8	6	10	6	4	6	2	2	6	9	2	7	2	5	120
5-19	6	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	2	1	1	2	5	1	3	1	5	57
20-99	2	7	3	0	5	2	4	3	2	2	1	2	1	1	2	3	1	3	1	0	45
100+	2	1	2	0	0	0	1	0	5	1	0	2	0	0	2	1	0	1	0	0	18
Sharkiya	10	9	7	8	6	11	8	10	7	7	7	6	3	5	6	11	1	6	3	4	135
5-19	6	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	2	1	1	2	5	1	3	1	4	56
20-99	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	1	3	2	3	0	3	1	0	37
100+	2	4	2	3	1	6	3	5	2	2	2	2	1	1	2	3	0	0	1	0	42
Qualyubia	10	9	7	8	5	6	7	10	7	7	7	6	3	5	6	11	1	4	3	3	125
5-19	6	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	2	1	1	2	5	1	3	1	3	55
20-99	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	1	3	2	3	0	1	1	0	35
100+	2	4	2	3	0	1	2	5	2	2	2	2	1	1	2	3	0	0	1	0	35
Kafr-El-Sheikh\																					
Menoufiya\Beheira	10	10	7	7	5	5	9	6	7	7	6	6	7	1	6	11	2	6	3	4	125
5-19	6	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	2	1	1	2	5	1	3	1	4	56
20-99	2	2	2	2	2	1	2	2	2	2	2	2	5	0	2	3	1	3	1	0	38
100+	2	5	2	2	0	1	4	1	2	2	1	2	1	0	2	3	0	0	1	0	31
Gharbiya	10	16	7	6	5	5	7	6	7	6	4	6	3	2	5	10	2	6	2	2	117

L = 10	1 6	10	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	l 4	1	2	-	1	2	4	,	l c1
5-19	6	10 2	3	3	3 2	3	3	3	3 2	3	3	2	1	1	2	5 3	1	3 3	1	2	61
20-99 100+	2 2	4	2 2	3 0	0	2 0	2 2	2 1	2	2 1	1 0	2 2	1 1	1 0	2 1	2	1 0	0	1 0	0	36 20
Ismailia	7		19	6	5	5	11	10	4	4	3	6	3	3	6	6	4	6	2	5	120
5-19	3	4			5		3	5		3	3			3			1	3			59
20-99	2	1	3 2	3 3	0	4 1	3 4	3	3 1	3 1	0	2 2	1 2	0	2 2	2 2	3	3	1 0	5 0	32
100+	2	0	14	0	0	0	4	2	0	0	0	2	0	0	2	2	0	0	1	0	29
Giza	10	12	8	17	13	16	12	15	7	7	7	6	3	3	6	14	3	9	3	14	185
5-19	6	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	2	1	1	2	8	1	3	1	1	56
20-99	2	2	2	6	2	4	2	3	2	2	2	2	1	1	2	3	1	3	1	10	53
100+	2	7	3	8	8	9	7	9	2	2	2	2	1	1	2	3	1	3	1	3	76
Bani-Suef\Minya	17	8	5	2	5	3	12	6	10	9	4	4	7	1	5	4	2	5	5	6	120
5-19	13	3	3	2	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	2	1	1	2	2	1	3	1	6	61
20-99	2	4	1	0	2	0	9	2	2	5	1	2	6	0	2	2	1	2	4	0	47
100+	2	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	5	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	12
Fayoum	7	7	3	7	8	5	5	5	7	5	4	7	8	10	8	5	2	4	6	2	115
5-19	3	3	3	7	6	4	3	3	3	3	3	2	7	10	2	2	1	3	3	2	73
20-99	2	3	0	0	2	1	1	2	2	2	1	2	1	0	6	2	0	1	2	0	30
100+	2	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	2	0	0	3	0	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	12
Assuit	8	6	3	11	9	8	8	9	5	4	7	7	1	4	5	4	5	6	6	4	120
5-19	3	6	3	11	8	8	6	7	3	3	3	2	1	2	2	2	1	3	1	4	79
20-99	2	0	0	0	1	0	2	2	1	1	2	4	0	2	2	2	3	3	4	0	31
100+	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	2	1	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	10
Souhag	7	9	4	1	8	8	7	10	5	8	3	5	8	8	7	4	2	3	6	2	115
5-19	3	7	3	1	8	8	5	7	3	3	3	2	6	7	2	2	1	3	1	2	77
20-99	2	1	1	0	0	0	2	3	1	5	0	3	1	1	4	2	1	0	4	0	31
100+	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	7
Qena	7	2	6	2	12	9	4	11	5	6	4	7	6	7	6	5	3	5	4	4	115
5-19	3	1	5	2	11	9	3	10	3	5	4	2	5	7	2	2	1	3	1	4	83
20-99	2	1	1	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	0	2	1	0	4	2	2	2	3	0	24
100+	2	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	3	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	8
Aswan	8	1	7	0	12	9	1	1	10	10	11	5	3	5	4	5	12	5	3	3	115
5-19	3	1	7	0	11	9	1	1	9	9	11	5	3	5	2	2	1	3	1	3	87
20-99 100+	4	0 0	0 0	0	1 0	0	0	0	1 0	1 0	0 0	0	0	0 0	2	2	5 6	2 0	2 0	0	20
	1	U	U	0	U	0	0	0	U	U	U	0	0	U	0	1	ь	U	U	U	8
Red Sea\North Sinai\South Sinai	5	4	1	1	5	3	1	0	6	3	3	3	4	1	4	5	9	7	3	2	70
5-19	3	2	1	1	3	3	1	0	3	3	3	2	1	1	2	2	3	3	1	2	40
20-99	2	1	0	1 0	2	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	2	0	2	2	3	3	1	0	20
100+	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	3	1	1	0	10
Wadi al Jadid	8	0	2	0	1	1	0	0	6	4	3	0	4	9	3	2	10	7	5	0	65
5-19	3	0	2	0	1	1	0	0	3	4	3	0	4	9	3	2	9	7	5	0	56
20-99	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	6
100+	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
Matrouh	6	2	1	0	4	0	0	0	3	3	3	3	14	4	3	3	10	3	7	1	70
5-19	3	1	1	0	4	0	0	0	3	3	3	2	11	4	2	2	3	3	5	1	51

20-99	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	0	1	1	6	0	1	0	15
100+	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	4
Grand Total	200	180	180	160	160	160	160	160	160	160	150	130	120	120	125	185	120	140	120	110	3000

Achieved interviews (based on a2, a4a and a6a)

													Other Manufac				Hote	Restau	60-	Grand
	15	17	18	19	20	22	24	25	26	28	35	36	-turing	45	51	52	ls	rants	64	Total
Cairo	22	45	41	66	4	28	25	35	58	52	36	16	21	57	18	56	50	48	76	754
5-19	12	8	18	45	4	12	2	4	26	26	6	8	4	16	13	38	24	28	27	321
20-99	3	11	12	18	0	11	12	17	23	11	5	7	9	22	2	9	19	16	34	241
100+	7	26	11	3	0	5	11	14	9	15	25	1	8	19	3	9	7	4	15	192
Alexandria	18	6	7	16	21	7	14	9	8	9	0	6	6	28	0	8	6	20	2	191
5-19	13	1	3	8	12	0	5	2	4	1	0	3	1	13	0	5	4	14	1	90
20-99	1	2	1	6	8	3	5	5	4	4	0	3	2	10	0	3	1	6	0	64
100+	4	3	3	2	1	4	4	2	0	4	0	0	3	5	0	0	1	0	1	37
Port Said	4	6	14	0	3	0	3	1	4	3	0	0	5	1	2	0	2	0	12	60
5-19	1	2	2	0	3	0	0	0	2	2	0	0	2	0	1	0	1	0	10	26
20-99	3	3	2	0	0	0	1	1	2	1	0	0	3	1	1	0	1	0	0	19
100+	0	1	10	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	15
Suez	2	1	0	0	0	0	4	0	2	2	0	0	2	0	11	0	0	0	2	26
5-19	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	10	0	0	0	2	14
20-99	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	4
100+	2	1	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	8
Luxor	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	20	4	1	28
5-19	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2		11	2	0	15
20-99	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		3	2	1	7
100+	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		6	0	0	6
Damietta	21	8	6	3	2	2	6	8	1	14	0	32	8	0	6	0	0	0	0	117
5-19	10	6	4	3	1	1	5	2	1	7	0	15	6	0	6	0	0	0	0	67
20-99	9	1	2	0	1	1	1	3	0	7	0	15	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	41
100+	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	9
Dakahliya	7	7	10	0	0	1	1	12	6	28	0	3	22	2	3	0	9	0	0	111
5-19	5	3	3	0	0	0	0	8	1	18	0	0	14	1	3	0	9	0	0	65
20-99	0	3	6	0	0	1	1	4	4	9	0	3	5	1	0	0	0	0	0	37
100+	2	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	9
Sharkiya	21	30	16	7	0	17	26	15	20	16	0	18	10	3	4	0	0	2	2	207
5-19	9	6	2	1	0	3	10	4	9	4	0	7	3	2	2	0	0	2	0	64
20-99	6	9	4	2	0	8	12	5	7	7	0	6	2	1	1	0	0	0	2	72
100+	6	15	10	4	0	6	4	6	4	5	0	5	5	0	1	0	0	0	0	71
Qualyubia	15	20	15	2	8	13	6	14	8	10	0	15	9	0	8	3	0	0	4	150
5-19	6	4	4	1	6	7	2	3	1	3	0	5	2	0	2	3	0	0	2	51
20-99	3	10	6	0	2	4	2	6	4	3	0	5	2	0	4	0	0	0	2	53
100+	6	6	5	1	0	2	2	5	3	4	0	5	5	0	2	0	0	0	0	46
Kafr-El-Sheikh\	30	48	32	1	9	9	7	1	19	4	0	5	62	2	2	0	4	0	4	239

Menoufiya\Beheira																				
5-19	13	14	8	0	6	1	1	1	3	1	0	3	20	1	0		3	0	0	75
20-99	15	19	17	0	3	5	2	0	10	0	0	2	24	1	0		1	0	3	102
100+	2	15	7	1	0	3	4	0	6	3	0	0	18	0	2		0	0	1	62
Gharbiya	5	36	33	1	7	2	1	5	5	23	0	5	1	2	2	0	7	0	0	135
5-19	2	5	9	0	6	0	0	1	2	5	0	4	0	1	0	0	7	0	0	42
20-99	0	25	8	1	1	2	1	3	2	17	0	1	1	1	2	0	0	0	0	65
100+	3	6	16	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	28
Ismailia	8	1	15	3	0	0	4	4	4	2	0	1	3	3	6	0	1	0	0	55
5-19	2	0	3	2	0	0	2	0	3	1	0	0	1	3	4	0	1	0	0	22
20-99	3	1	2	0	0	0	2	3	1	1	0	1	2	0	2	0	0	0	0	18
100+	3	0	10	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	15
Giza	33	13	19	15	12	26	27	18	19	14	6	16	19	29	15	56	26	38	31	432
5-19	16	3	5	4	9	6	11	3	7	4	0	9	3	12	5	40	10	17	11	175
20-99	8	4	6	9	2	9	7	5	5	5	1	3	9	12	5	13	9	18	14	144
100+	9	6	8	2	1	11	9	10	7	5	5	4	7	5	5	3	7	3	6	113
Bani-Suef\Minya	28	7	7	0	7	0	10	9	17	12	0	3	9	0	7	0	2	1	0	119
5-19	24	2	4	0	7	0	7	5	7	1	0	1	8	0	7	0	0	1	0	74
20-99	1	5	1	0	0	0	3	3	9	10	0	2	1	0	0	0	2	0	0	37
100+	3	0	2	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	8
Fayoum	4	1	0	0	0	0	5	4	7	6	0	2	5	0	4	0	0	1	0	39
5-19	2	0	0	0	0	0	3	2	4	3	0	1	3	0	3	0	0	1	0	22
20-99	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	3	3	0	1	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	12
100+	1	1	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	5
Assuit	17	5	1	0	1	1	0	15	6	7	0	7	12	0	1	0	1	1	0	75
5-19	7	5	1	0	0	0	0	12	5	6	0	6	10	0	1	0	0	0	0	53
20-99	6	0	0	0	1	1	0	3	1	1	0	1	1	0	0	0	1	1	0	17
100+	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	5
Souhag	7	6	2	0	8	0	2	4	0	16	0	5	7	0	3	0	5	0	1	66
5-19	1	6	1	0	8	0	0	2	0	9	0	5	1	0	3	0	5	0	1	42
20-99	2	0	1	0	0	0	2	2	0	7	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	19
100+	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	5
Qena	3	1	5	0	1	0	3	1	4	3	0	0	2	0	0	0	2	0	0	25
5-19	3	1	3	0	1	0	3	0	3	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	17
20-99	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	2	0	0	7
100+	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Aswan	6	0	0	0	1	1	0	1	1	4	0	2	0	0	0	0	7	5	2	30
5-19	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	1	1	2	0	2	0	0	0	0	3	4	1	16
20-99	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	10
100+	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	4
Red Sea\North Sinai\South Sinai	0	0	^	0	•	^	•	•	^	0	•	^	0	0	4	•	2	12	2	10
5-19	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1 1	0	2	12 11	3	18 15
5-19 20-99	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0	0		0	0	1		0	2
20-99 100+	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0 0	0	0	0 0	0	0	1	1 0	0	
Wadi al Jadid	5	0	0	0	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	1	<u> </u>	0	0 1	<u>0</u>	<u> </u>	0	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	0	<u> </u>	0	1 9
vvaui di Jaulu	ı 5	U	U	U	1	1		U	U	1	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	. 9

Grand Total	263	241	223	114	88	108	145	156	189	228	42	136	203	127	95	123	144	132	140	2897
100+	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
20-99	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
5-19	2	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
Matrouh	6	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	11
100+	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
20-99	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
5-19	1	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4

A.2.2. Status codes

	TOTAL	FRESH	PANEL
Complete interviews (Total)	2897	2256	641
Complete interviews (not eligible for innovation)	978	796	182
Complete interviews (with innovation)	1722	1353	369
Complete interviews (eligible, but refused to answer innovation)	197	107	90
Incomplete interviews	91	35	56
Elegible in process	48	27	21
Refusals	220	149	71
Quota is met	85	69	16
Out of target	451	302	149
Impossible to contact	1214	1141	73
Ineligible - coop.	4	2	2
Refusal to the Screener	944	536	408
Total	5954	4517	1437

	1. Elegible establishment (Correct name and address)	2922	2298	624
	2. Elegible establishment (Different name but same address - the	6	3	3
əle	new firm/establishment bought the original firm/establishment) 3. Elegible establishment (Different name but same address - the	-		
Eligible	firm/establishment changed its name)	133	54	79
B	4. Elegible establishment (Wrong address - the firm/establishmen has changed address and the address could be found)	267	181	86
	16. Panel firm - now less than five employees	13	0	13
	5. The establishment has less than 5 permanent full time employees	35	35	0
Ineligible	6. The firm discontinued businesses	125	73	52
Ineli	7. Not a business: private household	277	183	94
	8. Ineligible activity: education, agriculture, finances, governments	14	11	3
	91. No reply (after having called in different days of the week and in different business hours)	46	46	0
4)	92. Line out of order	42	42	0
able	93. No tone	11	11	0
otair	94. Phone number does not exist	176	176	0
Unobtainable	10. Answering machine	21	21	0
	11. Fax line - data line	20	20	0
	12. Wrong address/ moved away and could not get the new references	898	825	73
	13. Refuses to answer the screener	944	536	408
	14. In process (the establishment is being called/ is being contacted - previous to ask the screener)	0	0	0
	151. Out of target - outside the covered regions, firm moved abroad	0	0	0
	152. Out of target - firm moved abroad	0	0	0
	153. Impossible to find	4	2	2
	154. Establishment is HQ without production or sales in the location	0	0	0
	156. Duplicate in the sample	0	0	0
	Total	5954	4517	1437

A.2.3. Survey and item non-response

The number of completed interviews per contacted establishment was 0.45. This number is the result of two factors: explicit refusals to participate in the survey, as reflected by the rate of rejection (which includes rejections of the screener and the main survey) and the quality of the sampling frame, as represented by the presence of ineligible units. The number of rejections per contact was 0.33.

A.2.4. Local agency team involved in the study and its comments on the implementation

Local agency team involved in the survey

Local agency 1	Name: Pan Arab Research Center (PARC)
	Country: Egypt
	Year started operations:
Name of Project Manager	
Name and position of other key	
persons of the project	
Enumerators involved	70
Other staff involved	

Local agency 2	Name: American University Cairo (AUC)
	Country: Egypt
	Year started operations:
Name of Project Manager	
Name and position of other key	
persons of the project	
Enumerators involved	Enumerators: 30
Other staff involved	Field supervisors: 6
	Field manager: 1

Sample Frame

Jampie i raine	
Characteristic of sample frame	Variables: Name of establishment, address, activity, legal status, date of
used	establish and registration, number of employees
Source	GAFI and Bureau van Dijk's Orbis database
Year of publication	2013
Comments on the quality of the sample frame	Some addresses were not clear with a wrong, old or not updated frame. Moreover some telephone numbers were wrong or out of service. Enterprises changed their activities. Some enterprises were no longer active - ranging from 5 months up to 10 years either for bankruptcy, taxes, death, and heritage. Most of the industrial areas in Upper Egypt governorates are influenced by the unstable political conditions which influenced investment, especially wood and texture
Year and organisation that conducted the last economic census	2010, CAPMAS
Other sources for companies statistics	

Sample

Comments/problems on sectors	Six enterprises were located in Abou-Simbel, 300 kilometers from Aswan.
and regions selected in the sample	There are also some enterprises affiliated to the Red Sea and South Sinai
	governorate that are 200/300 kilometers away from the governorate. In the
	industrial areas, it was so difficult to move on foot because there was a very
	long distance (10/20 km) between the enterprises. That condition lead to
	quitting of most of the researchers. Some researchers spent all day and
	didn't get one completed questionnaire. Some of the enterprises in the
	touristic areas were closed due to security issues and scarcity of tourists.
	Fieldwork did not commence in North Sinai due to security concerns.
Comments on the response rate	
Comments on the sample design	

Fieldwork

Date of fieldwork	PARC: June 2013 – August 2014, with additional quality control occurring
	until February 2015

Country	Egypt
Number of completed interviews	PARC: 1213; AUC: 1721
Problems found during fieldwork	
Other observations	

Questionnaires

Problems for the understanding of	
questions	
Problems found in the navigability	Some mistakes in coding of the tablet affected skip patterns, requiring
of questionnaires (for example,	callbacks.
skip patterns)	
Comments on questionnaire length	
Suggestions or other comments on	
the questionnaires	

Quality control

Fieldwork monitoring	
Data checking procedures	Callbacks were made at multiple stages of the fieldwork process. Callbacks were also made to correct invalid responses, to get more detail on the string variables and to complete questions the respondent originally declined to answer.
Number of respondents selected	
for back-checking	
Selection procedures	
Who carried out back-checks?	
Mode of contact	
Number of completed interviews	
back-checked	
Number of non-responses back-	
checked	
Results of alternative method of	
contacting non-respondents	
Description of what was covered in	
the back-checks	
Number of completed interviews	Due to a suspicious pattern of responses or ineligible business activities, 87
that were rejected and why	firms were removed from the final dataset.

Database

Data entry program chosen	Raw data was directly uploaded from the tablets and computer CATI system, eliminating the need for data entry.
Comments on the data entry program	
Comments on the data cleaning	Cleaning was done to correct variable names and value labels, as well as to insert the 'control variables' (a1-a6) that did not come with the data. Data from call backs was merged into the dataset, as were translated string variables.

Country situation

General aspects of economic,	Significant political unrest, but did not affect fieldwork.
political or social situation of the	
country that could affect the	
results of the survey	
Relevant country events that	
occurred during fieldwork	
Other aspects	

A.3 Israel

A.3.1. Sampling structure and implementation

The sampling frame for Israel was obtained from Dun and Bradstreet. Samples were selected separately by Dun and Bradstreet, following specifications and designs provided by the World Bank, based upon universe tabulations from the 2011 estimates from the Israeli Bureau of Statistics.

The quality of the frame was assessed at the onset of the project. The frame proved to be useful though it showed positive rates of non-eligibility, repetition, non-existent units, etc. These problems are typical of establishment surveys, but given the impact these inaccuracies may have on the results, adjustments were needed when computing the appropriate weights for individual observations. The percentage of confirmed non-eligible units as a proportion of the total number of contacts to complete the survey was 5.1% (78 out of 1537 establishments).

Regional stratification was defined in five regions. These regions are Tel Aviv, Haifa and the North, Central, Jerusalem and South. Table below shows the grouping of official administrative districts into these five regions.

Regions (districts)	Grouping used for stratification purposes in MENA ES
Tel Aviv	Tel Aviv
Haifa	Haifa and the North
North	Haira and the North
Central	Central
Jerusalem	Jerusalem
South	South

Sample frame

Region	Employees	Food & Beverages	Other Manufacturing	Retail	Other Services	Grand Total
Tel Aviv	5-19	29	56	80	72	237
Ter Aviv	20-99	33	49	36	20	138
	100+	13	26	25	25	89
	Total	75	131	141	117	464
Haifa and the North	5-19	24	40	52	148	264
	20-99	52	44	24	20	140
	100+	27	24	21	20	92
	Total	103	108	97	188	496
Control	5-19	25	19	20	20	84
Central	20-99	25	20	20	20	85
	100+	21	25	25	20	91
	Total	71	64	65	60	260
loguealogo	5-19	59	36	57	20	172
Jerusalem	20-99	30	60	63	20	173
	100+	9	23	13	20	65
	Total	98	119	133	60	410
Cauth	5-19	24	20	20	20	84
South	20-99	25	20	20	20	85
	100+	13	24	12	20	69
	Total	62	64	52	60	238
Grand Total		409	486	488	485	1868

Source: Dun & Bradstreet.

Universe estimates

Region	Employees	Food & Beverages	Other Manufacturing	Retail	Other Services	Grand Total
Tal A	5-19	99	1188	1841	4692	7820
Tel Aviv	20-99	62	326	340	1673	2401
	100+	13	76	68	289	446
	Total	174	1590	2248	6655	10667
Haifa and the North	5-19	243	1089	1494	6565	9391
	20-99	122	591	242	1465	2420
	100+	45	179	22	101	347
	Total	410	1859	1759	8130	12158
Combinal	5-19	169	948	1745	4936	7798
Central	20-99	104	496	416	1626	2642
	100+	29	149	83	262	523
	Total	302	1593	2245	6823	10963
lawusalam	5-19	73	243	565	1924	2805
Jerusalem	20-99	31	85	111	588	815
	100+	8	24	12	50	94
	Total	112	352	688	2562	3714
Courth	5-19	86	383	598	2407	3474
South	20-99	45	236	133	715	1129
	100+	13	64	13	102	192
	Total	144	683	744	3224	4795
Grand Total		1142	6077	7684	27394	42297

Source: Israeli Central Bureau of Statistics, 2011.

Original sample design

Region	Employees	Food & Beverages	Other Manufacturing	Retail	Other Services	Grand Total
Tal A. i	5-19	6	14	19	18	57
Tel Aviv	20-99	13	11	9	5	38
	100+	9	5	5	5	25
	Total	28	30	34	28	120
Haifa and the North	5-19	5	10	13	37	65
	20-99	11	11	6	5	32
	100+	7	5	5	5	22
	Total	23	26	24	47	120
Central	5-19	5	5	5	5	20
Central	20-99	5	5	5	5	20
	100+	5	5	5	5	20
	Total	15	15	15	15	60
Jerusalem	5-19	12	9	11	5	37
Jerusalem	20-99	18	14	13	5	50
	100+	8	11	9	5	33
	Total	38	34	33	15	120
South	5-19	5	5	5	5	20
30utii	20-99	5	5	5	5	20
	100+	5	5	5	5	20
	Total	15	15	15	15	60
Grand Total		120	120	120	120	480

Achieved sample (based on a2, a4a and a6a)

Region	Employees	Food & Beverages	Other Manufacturing	Retail	Other Services	Grand Total
Tal Assiss	5-19	14	17	18	19	68
Tel Aviv	20-99	11	12	10	3	36
	100+	0	4	3	5	12
	Total	25	33	31	27	116
Haifa and the North	5-19	8	11	14	37	70
	20-99	18	11	9	5	43
	100+	4	8	6	7	25
	Total	30	30	29	49	138
Combinal	5-19	12	5	4	5	26
Central	20-99	11	3	6	4	24
	100+	5	6	6	8	25
	Total	28	14	16	17	75
la musa la ma	5-19	16	9	14	5	44
Jerusalem	20-99	5	15	14	3	37
	100+	2	10	2	7	21
	Total	23	34	30	15	102
South	5-19	6	4	7	5	22
	20-99	5	5	5	5	20
	100+	0	3	1	6	10
	Total	11	12	13	16	52
Grand Total		117	123	119	124	483

A.3.2. Status codes

	TOTAL
Complete interviews (Total)	483
Complete interviews (not eligible for innovation)	244
Complete interviews (with innovation)	230
Complete interviews (eligible, but refused to answer innovation)	9
Incomplete interviews	0
Elegible in process	0
Refusals	138
Quota is met	41
Out of target	60
Impossible to contact	238
Ineligible - coop.	18
Refusal to the Screener	559
Total	1537

	1.Elegible establishment (Correct name and address)	592
	2. Elegible establishment (Different name but same address - the new firm/establishment bought the original firm/establishment)	4
Eligible	3. Elegible establishment (Different name but same address - the firm/establishment changed its name)	21
_	4. Elegible establishment (Wrong address - the firm/establishmen has changed address and the address could be found)	45
	16. Panel firm - now less than five employees	0
a)	5. The establishment has less than 5 permanent full time employees	45
gible	6. The firm discontinued businesses	10
Ineligible	7. Not a business: private household	1
_	8. Ineligible activity: education, agriculture, finances, governments	4
	91. No reply (after having called in different days of the week and in different business hours)	211
a)	92. Line out of order	1
able	93. No tone	2
otair	94. Phone number does not exist	0
Unobtainable	10. Answering machine	8
_	11. Fax line - data line	0
	12. Wrong address/ moved away and could not get the new references	16
	13. Refuses to answer the screener	559
	14. In process (the establishment is being called/ is being contacted - previous to ask the screener)	0
	151. Out of target - outside the covered regions, firm moved abroad	8
	152. Out of target - firm moved abroad	1
	153. Impossible to find	9
	154. Establishment is HQ without production or sales in the location	0
	156. Duplicate in the sample	0
	Total	1537

A.3.3. Survey and item non-response

The number of completed interviews per contacted establishment was 0.31. This number is the result of two factors: explicit refusals to participate in the survey, as reflected by the rate of rejection (which includes rejections of the screener and the main survey) and the quality of the sampling frame, as represented by the presence of ineligible units. The number of rejections per contact was 0.45.

A.3.4. Local agency team involved in the study and its comments on the implementation

Local agency team involved in the survey

o ,	•
Local agency	Name: Public Opinion Research of Israel (PORI)
	Country: Israel
	Membership of international organisation:
	Active since:
Name of Project Manager	
Name and position of other key	
persons of the project	
Enumerators involved	Enumerators: 9
Other staff involved	

Sampling frame

Characteristic of sample frame used	Variables: Name of establishment, address, activity, telephone number, number of employees
Source	Dun and Bradstreet
Year of publication	2013
Comments on the quality of the sample frame	The quality of the frame was assessed at the onset of the project through visits to a random subset of firms and local contractor knowledge. The sample frame was not immune from the typical problems found in establishment surveys: positive rates of non- eligibility, repetition, non-existent units, etc.
Year and organisation that conducted the last economic census	2011, Central Bureau of Statistics
Other sources for companies statistics	None

Sample

Jampic	
Comments/problems on sectors	
and regions selected in the sample	
Comments on the response rate	
Comments on the sample design	

Fieldwork

Date of fieldwork	April 2013 – March 2014, with call-backs and data finalisation lasting until
	June 2014
Country	Israel
Number of completed interviews	483
Problems found during fieldwork	Some enterprises refused to disclose financial data, requiring significant number of callbacks.
Other observations	

Questionnaires

~	
Problems for the understanding of	
questions (write question number)	
Problems found in the navigability	Tablets were extremely useful for navigating questionnaire due to automatic
of questionnaires (for example,	implementation of skip patterns.
skip patterns)	
Comments on questionnaire length	
Suggestions or other comments on	
the questionnaires	

Quality control

Fieldwork monitoring	
Data checking procedures	Callbacks were made at multiple stages of the fieldwork process. PORI conducted ongoing callbacks at the direction of Gallup Europe throughout the fieldwork period. Callbacks were also made to correct invalid responses, to get more detail on the string variables and to complete questions the respondent originally declined to answer.
Number of respondents selected	
for back-checking	
Selection procedures	
Who carried out back-checks?	
Mode of contact	
Number of completed interviews back-checked	
Number of non-responses back-	
checked	
Results of alternative method of	
contacting non-respondents	
Description of what was covered in	
the back-checks	
Number of completed interviews	
that were rejected and why	

Database

- a ta a a a a	
Data entry program chosen	Raw data was directly uploaded from the tablets and computer CATI system, eliminating the need for data entry.
Comments on the data entry program	All verifications and consistency checks (for tablet-based interviews) were applied on PC-tablet applications. An error message pops up when entering a wrong value and some error messages show up in red for sensitive questions.
Comments on the data cleaning	Cleaning was done to correct variable names and value labels, as well as to insert the 'control variables' (a1-a6) that did not come with the data. Data from call backs was merged into the dataset, as were translated string variables.

Country situation

General aspects of economic,	
political or social situation of the	
country that could affect the	
results of the survey	
Relevant country events that	
occurred during fieldwork	
Other aspects	

A.4 Jordan

A.4.1. Sampling structure and implementation

Sample frames were obtained from several sources. The first was supplied by the World Bank and consisted of enterprises interviewed in a recent SME survey. The World Bank required that attempts should be made to re-interview establishments responding to the enterprise survey where they were within the selected geographical regions and met eligibility criteria. That sample is referred to as the

Panel.⁶ The remaining sample frames were obtained from the Amman Chamber of Industry, the Amman Chamber of Commerce, the Irbid Chamber of Industry, the Irbid Chamber of Commerce, the Zarqa Chamber of Industry, the Aqaba Chamber of Industry, the Aqaba Chamber of Commerce, the Balqa Chamber of Industry, the Balqa Chamber of Commerce, and Bureau van Dijk's Orbis database (for the validation of large-sized firms). In several categories, employee size information was not available. In these cases, the survey design was adjusted to include "no information" as a size-based stratum. For analysis and weighting purposes, these firms were considered by the virtue of their realised interviews.

The quality of the frame was assessed at the onset of the project. The frame proved to be useful though it showed positive rates of non-eligibility, repetition, non-existent units, etc. These problems are typical of establishment surveys, but given the impact these inaccuracies may have on the results, adjustments were needed when computing the appropriate weights for individual observations. The percentage of confirmed non-eligible units as a proportion of the total number of contacts to complete the survey was 16.7% (183 out of 2104 establishments).

Regional stratification was defined in 5 regions. These regions are Amman, Irbid, Zarqa, Aqaba and Balqa.

Regions (governorates)	Grouping used for stratification purposes in MENA ES
Amman	Amman
Irbid	Irbid
Zarqa	Zarqa
Aqaba	Aqaba
Balqa	Balqa
Aljun	
Jarash	
Madaba	
Karak	Not covered
Mafraq	
Tafilah	
Ma'an	

⁶ In the case of the World Bank SME study, a small panel of firms was re-visited and included. The stratification categories provided in other supplementary lists were drawn as shown above, then confirmed as eligible for MENA ES, by strata in the course of screening and the ES process.

Fresh sampling frame

		Manufa	cturing				
Region	Employees	Food	Apparel	Other	Retail/Wh olesale	Other Services	Grand Total
Amman	Unknown*				16180	6539	22719
	Small						0
	Medium						0
	Large				7	18	25
	1-9	38	115	1424			1577
	10-49	20	53	382			455
	50-250	15	3	71			89
	250+	8	5	19			32
	Unknown (Amman M)*	907	616	6888			8411
	Total	988	792	8784	16187	6557	33308
Irbid	Unknown*				1638	687	2325
	Small	20	8	76			104
	Medium	11	9	20			40
	Large		15	6			21
	Total	31	32	102	1638	687	2490
Zarqa	Unknown*				6509	3635	10144
	Small	112	42	336			490
	Medium	40	7	75			122
	Large	18	16	19			53
	Total	170	65	430	6509	3635	10809
Aqaba	Unknown*				172	228	400
	Small	5		14			19
	Medium	1		7			8
	Large	3		2			5
	Total	9	0	23	172	228	432
Balqa	Unknown*	18	1	17	2117	2325	4478
	Total	18	1	17	2117	2325	4478
Grand Total		1216	890	9356	26623	13432	51517

Sources: As noted in the text above.

Note: * Includes micro firms (with less than 5 employees) accounting for differentiation from Department of Statistics universe estimates.

Panel sampling frame

		Manufa	cturing				
Region	Employees	Food	Apparel	Other	Retail/ Wholes ale	Other Services	Grand Total
Amman	5-19		7	23		51	81
	20-99		23	58		102	183
	100+		5	16		38	59
	Total		35	97		191	323
Zarqa	5-19		2	17		22	41
	20-99		4	16		21	41
	100+		2	11		14	27
	Total		8	44		57	109
Grand Total			43	141		248	432

Source: WB SME survey (Amman and Zarqa only).

Universe estimates

		Manufa	cturing				
Region	Employees	Food	Apparel	Other	Retail/Wh olesale	Other Services	Grand Total
Amman	5-19	317	114	1103	880	2388	4802
	20-99	113	19	299	134	648	1213
	100+	32	14	103	21	148	318
	Total	462	147	1505	1035	3184	6333
Irbid	5-19	94	9	174	77	221	575
	20-99	12	14	14	3	18	61
	100+	0	15	3	2	3	23
	Total	106	38	191	82	242	659
Zarqa	5-19	89	28	255	143	291	806
	20-99	25	2	47	19	16	109
	100+	15	11	20	0	0	46
	Total	129	41	322	162	307	961
Aqaba	5-19	8	3	44	82	181	318
	20-99	1	0	2	4	32	39
	100+	0	0	4	0	9	13
	Total	9	3	50	86	222	370
Balqa	5-19	35	2	43	26	51	157
	20-99	4	2	8	1	7	22
	100+	1	0	7	0	4	12
	Total	40	4	58	27	62	191
Grand Total		746	233	2126	1392	4017	8514

Source: 2011 Establishment Census, Department of Statistics.

Note: In some cases, full counts by the ES universe tables were not available via the Department of Statistics; a simple iterative fitting algorithm was used to impute the missing dimension.

Original sample design

		Manufa	cturing				
Region	Employees	Food	Apparel	Other	Retail/Wh olesale	Other Services	Grand Total
Amman	5-19	10	10	24	22	20	86
	20-99	10	15	15	18	13	71
	100+	11	11	5	11	5	43
	Total	31	36	44	51	38	200
Irbid	5-19	18	7	11	18	6	61
	20-99	10	11	11	2	6	40
	100+	0	12	2	2	2	18
	Total	28	30	25	22	14	120
Zarqa	5-19	8	10	6	6	6	36
	20-99	20	4	6	15	6	51
	100+	12	9	11	0	1	33
	Total	40	23	23	21	13	120
Aqaba	5-19	6	2	10	17	13	48
	20-99	1	0	2	3	24	29
	100+	0	0	3	0	9	12
	Total	7	2	15	20	46	90
Balqa	5-19	5	6	5	8	5	29
	20-99	7	2	12	2	5	28
	100+	2	1	6	0	4	13
	Total	14	8	23	11	14	70
Grand Total		120	100	130	125	125	600

Achieved sample (based on a2, a4a and a6b)

		Manufa	cturing				
Region	Employees	Food	Apparel	Other	Retail/Wh olesale	Other Services	Grand Total
Amman	5-19	12	11	22	25	22	92
	20-99	17	18	19	24	23	101
	100+	6	5	7	12	9	39
	Total	35	34	48	61	54	232
Irbid	5-19	4	1	8	19	4	36
	20-99	15	6	13	3	7	44
	100+	1	16	4	2	0	23
	Total	20	23	25	24	11	103
Zarqa	5-19	5	8	11	7	6	37
	20-99	16	2	9	10	4	41
	100+	17	10	12	0	0	39
	Total	38	20	32	17	10	117
Aqaba	5-19	3	0	9	16	10	38
	20-99	3	0	4	3	18	28
	100+	1	0	1	0	1	3
	Total	7	0	14	19	29	69
Balqa	5-19	10	1	7	9	7	34
	20-99	3	0	5	3	5	16
	100+	1	0	1	0	1	2
	Total	14	1	13	12	12	52
Grand Total		114	78	132	133	116	573

A.4.2. Status codes

	TOTAL
Complete interviews (Total)	573
Complete interviews (not eligible for innovation)	245
Complete interviews (with innovation)	324
Complete interviews (eligible, but refused to answer innovation)	4
Incomplete interviews	0
Elegible in process	0
Refusals	56
Quota is met	0
Out of target	182
Impossible to contact	1176
Ineligible - coop.	1
Refusal to the Screener	116
Total	2104

	1.Elegible establishment (Correct name and address)	68
	2. Elegible establishment (Different name but same address - the	3
a	new firm/establishment bought the original firm/establishment)	
Eligible	3. Elegible establishment (Different name but same address - the firm/establishment changed its name)	42
Ш	Elegible establishment (Wrong address - the firm/establishmen	
	has changed address and the address could be found)	512
	16. Panel firm - now less than five employees	4
	5. The establishment has less than 5 permanent full time	60
<u>a</u>	employees	
Ineligible	6. The firm discontinued businesses	54
Inel	7. Not a business: private household	18
	8. Ineligible activity: education, agriculture, finances, governments	50
	91. No reply (after having called in different days of the week and in	390
	different business hours)	
<u>a</u>	92. Line out of order	25
nab	93. No tone	5
btai	94. Phone number does not exist	75
Unobtainable	10. Answering machine	1
	11. Fax line - data line	3
	12. Wrong address/ moved away and could not get the new references	677
	13. Refuses to answer the screener	116
	14. In process (the establishment is being called/ is being contacted - previous to ask the screener)	0
	151. Out of target - outside the covered regions, firm moved abroad	0
	152. Out of target - firm moved abroad	1
	153. Impossible to find	0
	154. Establishment is HQ without production or sales in the location	0
	156. Duplicate in the sample	0
	Total	2104

A.4.3. Survey and item non-response

The number of completed interviews per contacted establishment was 0.60. This number is the result of two factors: explicit refusals to participate in the survey, as reflected by the rate of rejection (which includes rejections of the screener and the main survey) and the quality of the sample frame, as represented by the presence of ineligible units. The number of rejections per contact was 0.21.

A.4.4. Local agency team involved in the study and its comments on the implementation

Local agency team involved in the survey

• ,	•
Local agency	Name: Dajani Consulting
	Country: Jordan
	Membership of international organisation:
	Activities since:
Name of Project Manager	
Name and position of other key	
persons of the project	
Interviewers involved	Enumerators: 12
Other staff involved	

Sampling frame

Characteristic of sample frame used	
Source	Amman Chamber of Industry, the Amman Chamber of Commerce, the Irbid Chamber of Industry, the Irbid Chamber of Commerce, the Zarqa Chamber of Industry, the Zarqa Chamber of Commerce, the Aqaba Chamber of Industry, the Aqaba Chamber of Commerce, the Balqa Chamber of Industry, the Balqa Chamber of Commerce, and Bureau van Dijk's Orbis database (for the validation of large-sized firms)
Year of publication	
Comments on the quality of the sample frame	Limitations in the frame, no comprehensive source of all private sector businesses. Not all values available for all companies (such as employee numbers).
Year and organisation that conducted the last economic census	2011, Department of Statistics

Sample

	•
Co	omments/problems on sectors
ar	nd regions selected in the sample
Co	omments on the response rate
Co	omments on the sample design

Fieldwork

Date of fieldwork	May 2013 – January 2014, with call-backs and data finalisation lasting until
	April 2014
Country	Jordan
Number of completed interviews	600
Problems found during fieldwork	Problems with questionnaire length, limited cooperation amongst business owners and managers, not everyone was willing to answer all questions (especially related to their finances), putting a lot of strain on enumerators to get as much information as possible. Concern over confidentiality of information.
Other observations	Many respondents required a lot of convincing before agreeing to the survey

Questionnaires

Problems for the understanding of	
questions (write question number)	
Problems found in the navigability	No problems with navigating questionnaire because of the tablets.
of questionnaires (for example,	
skip patterns)	
Comments on questionnaire length	Respondents found the questionnaire to be very long.

Suggestions or other comments on	Many open-ended questions required callbacks.
the questionnaires	

Quality control

Fieldwork monitoring	
Data checking procedures	Callbacks were made at multiple stages of the fieldwork process. Dajani Consulting conducted ongoing callbacks at the direction of Gallup Europe throughout the fieldwork period.
Number of respondents selected for back-checking	214 (35.6%)
Selection procedures	
Who carried out back-checks?	
Mode of contact	
Number of completed interviews back-checked	
Number of non-responses back- checked	
Results of alternative method of contacting non-respondents	
Description of what was covered in the back-checks	A standard callback questionnaire of key variables (d2, n3, l1, l2, n2, n7, l6, and l8) was used to confirm the accuracy of recorded data. Callbacks were also made to correct invalid responses, to get more detail on the string variables and to complete questions the respondent originally declined to answer.
Number of completed interviews that were rejected and why	27 cases were removed due to critically low item-level response or ineligible industry.

Database

Data entry program chosen	Raw data was directly uploaded from the tablets and computer CATI system, eliminating the need for data entry.
Comments on the data entry program	All verifications and consistency checks (for tablet-based interviews) were applied on PC-tablet applications. An error message pops up when entering a wrong value and some error messages show up in red for sensitive questions.
Comments on the data cleaning	Cleaning was done to correct variable names and value labels, as well as to insert the 'control variables' (a1-a6) that did not come with the data. Data from call backs was merged into the dataset, as were translated string variables.

Country situation

General aspects of economic, political or social situation of the country that could affect the results of the survey	
Relevant country events that occurred during fieldwork	
Other aspects	

A.5 Lebanon

A.5.1. Sampling structure and implementation

The sampling frame for Lebanon was collated from multiple available sources, including chambers of commerce, by InfoPro (local consultant). For some companies, information about industry and number of employees was unavailable.

The quality of the frame was assessed at the onset of the project. The frame proved to be useful though it showed positive rates of non-eligibility, repetition, non-existent units, etc. These problems are typical of establishment surveys, but given the impact these inaccuracies may have on the results, adjustments were needed when computing the appropriate weights for individual observations. The percentage of confirmed non-eligible units as a proportion of the total number of contacts to complete the survey was 20.8% (454 out of 2187 establishments).

Regional stratification was defined in 6 regions. These regions are Beirut, Bekaa Valley, Mount Lebanon, Nabatieh, North Lebanon and South Lebanon.

Regions (official)	Grouping used for stratification purposes in MENA ES
Beirut	Beirut
Bekaa Valley	Bekaa Valley
Mount Lebanon	Mount Lebanon
Nabatieh	Nabatieh
North Lebanon	North Lebanon
South Lebanon	South Lebanon

Fresh sampling frame

Region	Employees	Food	Other	Retail/	Other	No	Grand
Region	Employees	roou	Manufacturing	Wholesale	Services	info.	Total
Beirut	Small	56	158	535	385	0	1134
	Medium	47	74	210	219	0	550
	Large	9	20	38	45	0	112
	No info.	50	74	290	261	0	675
	Total	162	326	1073	910	0	2471
Bekaa Valley	Small	25	18	47	21	0	111
	Medium	20	14	13	6	0	53
	Large	5	6	0	0	0	11
	No info.	8	9	42	8	500	567
	Total	58	47	102	35	500	742
Mount Lebanon	Small	175	424	1009	497	0	2105
	Medium	148	299	391	233	0	1071
	Large	37	63	55	70	0	225
	No info.	132	190	593	349	5	1269
	Total	492	976	2048	1149	5	4670
Nabatieh	Small	3	1	1	4	0	9
	Medium	2	2	0	0	0	4
	Large	0	0	0	0	0	0
	No info.	54	106	1101	8	98	1367
	Total	59	109	1102	12	98	1380
North Lebanon	Small	18	23	50	46	0	137
	Medium	11	15	22	18	0	66
	Large	5	0	0	0	0	5
	No info.	10	13	51	21	0	95
	Total	44	51	123	85	0	303
South Lebanon	Small	10	14	39	18	0	81
	Medium	5	7	11	7	0	30
	Large	0	5	4	3	0	12
	No info.	119	254	1972	34	222	2601
	Total	134	280	2026	62	222	2724
Grand Total		949	1789	6474	2253	825	12290

Source: Collated from multiple sources by InfoPro.

Universe estimates

Region	Employees	Food	Other Manufacturing	Retail	Other Services	Grand Total
Beirut	5-19	85	161	923	530	
	20-99	17	32	184	106	
	100+	3	5	30	17	
	Total					2093
Bekaa Valley	5-19	38	72	397	161	
	20-99	6	12	66	27	
	100+	1	1	6	2	
	Total					789
Mount Lebanon	5-19	187	498	1733	887	
	20-99	34	91	318	163	
	100+	5	13	45	23	
	Total					3998
Nabatieh	5-19	16	25	110	54	
	20-99	2	4	17	8	
	100+	0	0	2	1	
	Total					240
North Lebanon	5-19	49	107	487	244	
	20-99	7	16	73	37	
	100+	1	2	8	4	
	Total					1035
South Lebanon	5-19	33	55	262	120	
	20-99	4	7	31	14	
	100+	1	1	6	3	
	Total					538
Grand Total	_					8692

Source: 2004 Establishment Census, Statistical Office.

Original sample design

Region	Employees	mployees Food	Other	Retail/	Other	No	Grand
	Employees		Manufacturing	Wholesale	Services	info.	Total
Beirut	Small	7	8	8	9		32
	Medium	8	9	10	10		37
	Large	6	12	14	13		45
	No info.	4	4	4	4		16
	Total	25	33	36	36		130
Bekaa Valley	Small	4	4	4	5		17
	Medium	4	5	6	6		21
	Large	5	6				11
	No info.	4	4	4	4	4	20
	Total	17	19	14	15	4	69
Mount Lebanon	Small	6	7	8	8		29
	Medium	7	9	10	9		35
	Large	10	12	13	12		47
	No info.	4	4	4	4	3	19
	Total	27	32	35	33	3	130
Nabatieh	Small	3	1	1	4		9
	Medium	2	2				4
	Large						
	No info.	8	10	10	8	11	47
	Total	13	13	11	12	11	60
North Lebanon	Small	4	4	4	5		17
	Medium	5	5	7	6		23
	Large	5					5
	No info.	4	4	4	4		16
	Total	18	13	15	15		61
South Lebanon	Small	10	12	12	12		46
	Medium	5	7	11	7		30
	Large		5	4	3		12
	No info.	5	6	7	7	7	32
	Total	20	30	34	29	7	120
Grand Total		120	140	145	140	25	570

Achieved sample (based on a2, a4a and a6a)

Region	Employees	Food	Other	Retail/	Other	No	Grand
Region	Employees	FOOU	Manufacturing	Wholesale	Services	info.	Total
Beirut	Small	10	9	7	11	0	37
	Medium	11	13	14	8	0	46
	Large	1	5	5	8	0	19
	No info.	7	4	1	4	0	16
	Total	29	31	27	31	0	118
Bekaa Valley	Small	9	9	9	10	0	37
	Medium	7	10	5	3	0	25
	Large	1	3	0	0	0	4
	No info.	3	5	4	1	5	18
	Total	20	27	18	14	5	84
Mount Lebanon	Small	8	9	7	13	0	37
	Medium	11	10	10	9	0	40
	Large	11	15	8	12	0	46
	No info.	3	6	1	4	2	16
	Total	33	40	26	38	2	139
Nabatieh	Small	1	0	0	3	0	4
	Medium	0	2	0	0	0	2
	Large	0	0	0	0	0	0
	No info.	7	11	8	2	9	37
	Total	8	13	8	5	9	43
North Lebanon	Small	7	8	8	9	0	32
	Medium	5	8	8	6	0	27
	Large	4	0	0	0	0	4
	No info.	2	5	5	3	0	15
	Total	18	21	21	18	0	78
South Lebanon	Small	6	8	13	7	0	34
	Medium	4	3	7	1	0	15
	Large	0	3	3	2	0	8
	No info.	9	6	11	9	7	42
	Total	19	20	34	19	7	99
Grand Total		127	152	134	125	23	561

A.1.2. Status codes

	TOTAL
Complete interviews (Total)	562
Complete interviews (not eligible for innovation)	174
Complete interviews (with innovation)	383
Complete interviews (eligible, but refused to answer innovation)	5
Incomplete interviews	2
Elegible in process	40
Refusals	145
Quota is met	70
Out of target	446
Impossible to contact	463
Ineligible - coop.	8
Refusal to the Screener	451
Total	2187

	1.Elegible establishment (Correct name and address)	761
<u>e</u>	2. Elegible establishment (Different name but same address - the	1
	new firm/establishment bought the original firm/establishment)	
Eligible	3. Elegible establishment (Different name but same address - the firm/establishment changed its name)	32
H	4. Elegible establishment (Wrong address - the firm/establishmen	
	has changed address and the address could be found)	25
	16. Panel firm - now less than five employees	0
	5. The establishment has less than 5 permanent full time	296
<u>a</u>	employees	
Ineligible	6. The firm discontinued businesses	57
lne	7. Not a business: private household	58
	8. Ineligible activity: education, agriculture, finances, governments	35
	91. No reply (after having called in different days of the week and in	334
	different business hours)	19
ق ا	92. Line out of order 93. No tone 94. Phone number does not exist 10. Answering machine	
nabl		
btai	94. Phone number does not exist	0
Uno	10. Answering machine	0
	11. Fax line - data line	0
	12. Wrong address/ moved away and could not get the new references	110
	13. Refuses to answer the screener	451
	14. In process (the establishment is being called/ is being contacted - previous to ask the screener)	0
	151. Out of target - outside the covered regions, firm moved abroad	0
	152. Out of target - firm moved abroad	
	153. Impossible to find	1
	154. Establishment is HQ without production or sales in the location	0
	156. Duplicate in the sample	0
	Total	2187

A.5.3. Survey and item non-response

The number of completed interviews per contacted establishment was 0.26. This number is the result of two factors: explicit refusals to participate in the survey, as reflected by the rate of rejection (which includes rejections of the screener and the main survey) and the quality of the sample frame, as represented by the presence of ineligible units. The number of rejections per contact was 0.27.

A.5.4. Local agency team involved in the study and its comments on the implementation

Local agency team involved in the survey

Local agency	Name: InfoPro
	Country: Lebanon
	Membership of international organisation:
	Activities since:
Name of Project Manager	
Name and position of other key	
persons of the project	
Enumerators involved	Enumerators: 8
Other staff involved	

Sampling frame

Characteristic of sample frame used	Variables: Name of establishment, address, activity, telephone number, number of employees
Source	Collated from multiple available sources, including chambers of commerce, by InfoPro (local consultant).
Year of publication	
Comments on the quality of the sample frame	For some companies, information about industry and number of employees was unavailable.
Year and organisation that conducted the last economic census	2004 Central Administration of Statistics
Other sources for companies statistics	None

Sample

Comments/problems on sectors and regions selected in the sample	Not all companies had sector or employee size information.
Comments on the response rate	
Comments on the sample design	

Fieldwork

Date of fieldwork	April 2013 – April 2014
Country	Lebanon
Number of completed interviews	561
Problems found during fieldwork	Some enterprises refused to answer certain questions, requiring callbacks.
Other observations	

Questionnaires

Problems for the understanding of	
questions (write question number)	
Problems found in the navigability	N/A, used CAPI
of questionnaires (for example,	
skip patterns)	
Comments on questionnaire length	
Suggestions or other comments on	
the questionnaires	

Quality control

Fieldwork monitoring	
Data checking procedures	All verifications and consistency checks (for tablet-based interviews) were
	applied on PC-tablet applications. An error message pops up when entering

	a wrong value and some error messages show up in red for sensitive questions. InfoPro conducted ongoing callbacks at the direction of Gallup throughout the fieldwork period. Callbacks were also made to correct invalid responses, to get more detail on the string variables and to complete questions the respondent originally declined to answer.
Number of respondents selected	
for back-checking	
Selection procedures	
Who carried out back-checks?	
Mode of contact	
Number of completed interviews	
back-checked	
Number of non-responses back-	
checked	
Results of alternative method of	
contacting non-respondents	
Description of what was covered in	
the back-checks	
Number of completed interviews	
that were rejected and why	

Database

Data entry program chosen	CAPI
Comments on the data entry	
program	
Comments on the data cleaning	Raw data was directly uploaded from the tablets and computer CATI system, eliminating the need for data entry. Cleaning was done to correct variable names and value labels, as well as to insert the 'control variables' (a1-a6) that did not come with the data. Data from call backs was merged into the dataset, as were translated string variables. For 25 of the interviews, the
	innovation section of the interview was conducted separately; this data had to be merged in as well.

Country situation

General aspects of economic,	
political or social situation of the	
country that could affect the	
results of the survey	
Relevant country events that	
occurred during fieldwork	
Other aspects	

A.6 Morocco

A.6.1. Sampling structure and implementation

Sample frames were obtained from several sources. The main source was from a private listing from the Kompass database, which was supplemented by sector-specific lists complied by the local contractors due to limited coverage in Food and Garments sectors. To retain probabilistic sampling, cells in which these supplements were used were drawn only after supplements were obtained.

The quality of the frame was assessed at the onset of the project. The frame proved to be useful though it showed positive rates of non-eligibility, repetition, non-existent units, etc. These problems are typical of establishment surveys, but given the impact these inaccuracies

may have on the results, adjustments were needed when computing the appropriate weights for individual observations. The percentage of confirmed non-eligible units as a proportion of the total number of contacts to complete the survey was 5.6% (135 out of 2403 establishments).

Regional stratification was defined in five regions. These regions are Grand Casablanca, Rabat-Sale-Zemmour-Zaer, North, Central and South. Table below shows the grouping of official administrative regions into these five regions. Due to restrictions in the sampling frame, disaggregated sub-regions (i.e., official regions) were used for drawing the sample.

Regions (administrative regions)	Grouping used for stratification purposes in MENA ES
Grand Casablanca	Grand Casablanca
Rabat-Salé-Zemmour-Zaer	Rabat-Salé-Zemmour-Zaer
Gharb-Chrarda-Béni Hssen	
Taza-Al Hoceima-Taounate	North
Tangier-Tétouan	
Fès-Boulemane	
Chaouia-Ouardigha	Central
Doukkala-Abda	
Tadla-Azilal	
Meknès-Tafilalet	
Souss-Massa-Drâa	South
Marrakech-Tensift-El Haouz	South
Guelmim-Es Semara	
Laâyoune-Boujdour-Sakia El Hamra	Not covered
Oriental	Not covered
Oued Ed-Dahab-Lagouira	

Sampling frame

Region	Employees	Food	Garments	Other Manufacturing	Retail	Other Services	Grand Total
Grand Casablanca	5-19	80	100	1951	53	1763	3947
	20-99	79	143	1178	26	926	2352
	100+	53	136	404	15	345	953
	Total	212	379	3533	94	3034	7252
Rabat-Salé-Zemmour-Zaer	5-19	11	4	196	14	224	449
	20-99	10	7	118	6	144	285
	100+	4	23	53	4	62	146
	Total	25	34	367	24	430	880
North	5-19	10	4	101	2	132	249
	20-99	16	12	107	0	103	238
	100+	15	38	37	2	31	123
	Total	41	54	245	4	266	610
Central	5-19	26	5	139	3	167	340
	20-99	34	8	127	3	126	298
	100+	20	37	55	0	44	156
	Total	80	50	321	6	337	794
South	5-19	22	8	189	8	243	470
	20-99	30	9	951	3	184	321
	100+	25	6	21	0	90	142
	Total	77	23	305	11	517	933
Grand Total		435	540	4771	139	4584	10469

Source: Several sources compiled by the local contractors.

Universe

Region	Employees	Food	Garments	Other Manufacturing	Retail	Other Services	Grand Total
Grand Casablanca	5-19	460	450	1951	802	3121	6784
	20-99	185	269	1178	95	926	2653
	100+	57	217	404	15	345	1038
	Total	702	936	3533	912	4392	10475
Rabat-Salé-Zemmour-Zaer	5-19	236	231	803	454	1799	3523
	20-99	37	53	142	18	235	485
	100+	11	44	53	4	62	174
	Total	284	328	998	476	2096	4182
North	5-19	434	424	1475	851	2903	6087
	20-99	56	80	216	10	189	551
	100+	18	69	71	3	31	192
	Total	508	573	1762	864	3123	6830
Central	5-19	758	740	2572	1334	4263	9667
	20-99	82	120	318	24	325	869
	100+	23	79	84	2	50	238
	Total	863	939	2974	1360	4638	10774
South	5-19	492	480	1670	917	2710	6269
	20-99	40	48	131	26	253	498
	100+	25	23	23	3	90	164
	Total	557	551	1824	946	3053	9631
Grand Total		2914	3327	11091	4558	17302	39192

Source: Kompass 2013, HCP – Recensement Economique, 2001-2002.

Original sample design

Region	Employees	Food	Garments	Other Manufacturing	Retail	Other Services	Grand Total
Grand Casablanca	5-19	7	7	11	7	20	52
	20-99	7	7	9	7	8	38
	100+	6	5	6	8	5	30
	Total	20	19	26	22	33	120
Rabat-Salé-Zemmour-Zaer	5-19	7	7	10	7	12	43
	20-99	8	7	8	14	8	45
	100+	6	9	8	4	5	32
	Total	21	23	26	25	25	120
North	5-19	6	6	9	6	12	39
	20-99	11	9	4	7	3	34
	100+	12	19	8	3	5	47
	Total	29	34	21	16	20	120
Central	5-19	10	10	13	11	14	58
	20-99	5	5	5	19	5	39
	100+	6	5	5	2	5	23
	Total	21	20	23	32	24	120
South	5-19	4	4	10	4	11	33
	20-99	10	8	5	18	3	44
	100+	15	12	9	3	4	43
	Total	29	24	24	25	18	120
Grand Total		120	120	120	120	120	600

Achieved sample (based on a2, a4a and a6a)

Region	Employees	Food	Garments	Other Manufacturing	Retail	Other Services	Grand Total
Grand Casablanca	5-19	11	10	12	6	18	57
	20-99	4	7	5	9	7	32
	100+	3	4	5	6	4	22
	Total	18	21	26	17	29	111
Rabat-Salé-Zemmour-Zaer	5-19	3	1	8	4	12	28
	20-99	1	2	9	14	8	34
	100+	0	2	5	2	4	13
	Total	4	5	22	20	24	75
North	5-19	1	1	6	3	8	19
	20-99	6	1	3	3	2	15
	100+	1	11	4	3	3	22
	Total	8	13	13	9	13	56
Central	5-19	3	2	14	8	8	35
	20-99	2	3	5	10	6	26
	100+	3	3	5	2	2	15
	Total	8	8	24	20	16	76
South	5-19	3	4	9	3	14	33
	20-99	4	4	7	20	1	36
	100+	5	2	4	4	5	20
	Total	12	10	20	27	20	89
Grand Total		50	57	105	93	102	407

A.6.2. Status codes

	TOTAL
Complete interviews (Total)	407
Complete interviews (not eligible for innovation)	137
Complete interviews (with innovation)	257
Complete interviews (eligible, but refused to answer innovation)	13
Incomplete interviews	37
Elegible in process	259
Refusals	116
Quota is met	0
Out of target	89
Impossible to contact	276
Ineligible - coop.	42
Refusal to the Screener	1173
Total	2399

	1.Elegible establishment (Correct name and address)	707
	2. Elegible establishment (Different name but same address - the new firm/establishment bought the original firm/establishment)	5
Eligible	Elegible establishment (Different name but same address - the firm/establishment changed its name)	39
_	4. Elegible establishment (Wrong address - the firm/establishmen has changed address and the address could be found)	68
	16. Panel firm - now less than five employees	0
	5. The establishment has less than 5 permanent full time employees	20
gible	6. The firm discontinued businesses	12
Ineligible	7. Not a business: private household	38
_	8. Ineligible activity: education, agriculture, finances, governments	19
Unob taina ble	91. No reply (after having called in different days of the week and in different business hours)	120

92. Line out of order	0
93. No tone	0
94. Phone number does not exist	57
10. Answering machine	13
11. Fax line - data line	10
12. Wrong address/ moved away and could not get the new references	76
13. Refuses to answer the screener	1173
14. In process (the establishment is being called/ is being contacted - previous to ask the screener)	0
151. Out of target - outside the covered regions, firm moved abroad	14
152. Out of target - firm moved abroad	0
153. Impossible to find	4
154. Establishment is HQ without production or sales in the location	1
156. Duplicate in the sample	23
Total	2375

A.6.3. Survey and item non-response

The number of completed interviews per contacted establishment was 0.17. This number is the result of two factors: explicit refusals to participate in the survey, as reflected by the rate of rejection (which includes rejections of the screener and the main survey) and the quality of the sample frame, as represented by the presence of ineligible units. The number of rejections per contact was 0.54.

A.6.4. Local agency team involved in the study and its comments on the implementation

Local agency team involved in the survey

Local agency team involved in the survey						
Local agency 1	Name: DSM					
	Country: Morocco					
	Membership of international organisation:					
	Activities since:					
Name of Project Manager						
Name and position of other key						
persons of the project						
Enumerators involved						
Other staff involved						

Local agency 2	Name: LMS-CSA
	Country: Morocco
	Membership of international organisation:
	Activities since:
Name of Project Manager	
Name and position of other key	
persons of the project	
Enumerators involved	
Other staff involved	

Sampling frame

Characteristic of sample frame	Variables: Name of establishment, address, activity, legal status, date of
used	establish and registration, number of employees
Source	Kompass and sector-specific lists complied by the local contractors due to
	limited coverage in Food and Garments sectors.
Year of publication	
Comments on the quality of the	
sample frame	

Year and organisation that conducted the last economic	2001-2002 HCP Recensement Economique
census	
Other sources for companies	
statistics	

Sample

Comments/problems on sectors	
and regions selected in the sample	
Comments on the response rate	
Comments on the sample design	

Fieldwork

Date of fieldwork	May 2013 – August 2014 (DSM); September 2014 - December 2014 (LMS-CSA), with additional call-backs and data finalisation lasting until March 2015.
Country	Morocco
Number of completed interviews	411 (348 DSM, 63 LMS-CSA)
Problems found during fieldwork	
Other observations	

Questionnaires

Problems for the understanding of	
questions (write question number)	
Problems found in the navigability	None.
of questionnaires (for example,	
skip patterns)	
Comments on questionnaire length	
Suggestions or other comments on	
the questionnaires	

Quality control

Fieldwork monitoring	
Data checking procedures	All verifications and consistency checks (for tablet-based interviews) were applied on PC-tablet applications. An error message pops up when entering a wrong value and some error messages show up in red for sensitive questions. DMS and LMS-CSA conducted ongoing callbacks at the direction of Gallup throughout the fieldwork period. Callbacks were also made to correct invalid responses, to get more detail on the string variables and to complete questions the respondent originally declined to answer.
Number of respondents selected	
for back-checking	
Selection procedures	
Who carried out back-checks?	
Mode of contact	
Number of completed interviews back-checked	
Number of non-responses back- checked	
Results of alternative method of contacting non-respondents	
Description of what was covered in the back-checks	
Number of completed interviews that were rejected and why	

Database

Data entry program chosen	Raw data from DSM was directly uploaded from the tablets and computer CATI system, eliminating the need for data entry. LMS-CSA entered the data by hand.
Comments on the data entry	
program	
Comments on the data cleaning	

Country situation

General aspects of economic, political or social situation of the country that could affect the results of the survey	
Relevant country events that occurred during fieldwork	
Other aspects	

A.7 Tunisia

A.7.1. Sampling structure and implementation

Two sampling frames were used. The first was the Guide Economique de la Tunisie, 2013, which did not include firm size information based on the number of employees and was used for small and medium strata. The second was Bureau van Dijk's Orbis database, which was considered to have a full representation of large firms and was thus used for large stratum. Duplicate entries were removed, with preference for the sampling frame with available size information.

The quality of the frame was assessed at the onset of the project. The frame proved to be useful though it showed positive rates of non-eligibility, repetition, non-existent units, etc. These problems are typical of establishment surveys, but given the impact these inaccuracies may have on the results, adjustments were needed when computing the appropriate weights for individual observations. The percentage of confirmed non-eligible units as a proportion of the total number of contacts to complete the survey was 8.4% (574 out of 6807 establishments).

Regional stratification was defined in 5 regions. These regions are Tunis, Sfax, Northeast, South Coast/West and Interior. The table below shows how the governorates were grouped into these five regions.

Governorate	Official statistical region	Grouping used for stratification purposes in MENA ES		
Tunis		Tunis		
Ariana		Northeast		
Manouba	North East			
Ben Arous	NOTHEASE			
Nabeul				
Bizerte				
Sfax		Sfax		
Sousse	Centre East			
Monastir	Centre Last			
Mahdia		South Coast/West		
Gabès				
Medenine	South East			
Tataouine				
Gafsa				
Kebili	South West			
Tozeur				
Kairouan	Centre West			
Kasserine	Centre West	Interior		
Sidi Bouzid		linterior		
Béja				
Jendouba	North West			
Le Kef				
Siliana				
Zaghouan	North East			

Sampling frame

Guide Economique 2013 (small and medium, no size category distinction)

	Food	Garments	Other	Retail	Other	Grand Total
Region	1000		Manufacturing	rictan	Services	
Tunis	146	127	1139	550	1472	3434
Sfax	49	103	422	146	293	1013
Northeast	226	307	1363	346	1145	3387
South Coast/West	76	247	576	188	765	1852
Interior	39	21	123	39	232	454
Grand Total	536	805	3623	1269	3907	10140

Source: Guide Economique 2013.

Bureau van Dijk's Orbis (large)

	Food	Garments	Other	Retail	Other	Grand Total
Region	1000	Garments	Manufacturing	rectan	Services	Grana rotar
Tunis	18	5	34	3	46	106
Sfax	4	3	24		12	43
Northeast	16	27	132	3	44	222
South Coast/West	9	33	38		9	89
Interior	3	8	24		4	39
Grand Total	50	76	252	6	115	499

Source: Bureau van Dijk's Orbis, 2013.

Combined sampling frame

		Food	Garments	Other		Other	
Region	Employees			Manufacturing	Retail	Services	Grand Total
Tunis	SME (5-99)	146	127	1139	550	1472	3434
	Large (100+)	18	5	34	3	46	106
	Total	164	132	1173	553	1518	3540
Sfax	SME (5-99)	49	103	422	146	293	1013
	Large (100+)	4	3	24	0	12	43
	Total	53	106	446	146	305	1056
Northeast	SME (5-99)	226	307	1363	346	1145	3387
	Large (100+)	16	27	132	3	44	222
	Total	242	334	1495	349	1189	3609
South	SME (5-99)	76	247	576	188	765	1852
Coast/West	Large (100+)	9	33	38	0	9	89
	Total	85	280	614	188	774	1941
Interior	SME (5-99)	39	21	123	39	232	454
	Large (100+)	3	8	24	0	4	39
	Total	42	29	147	39	236	493
Grand Total		586	881	3875	1275	4022	10639

Universe

		Food	Garments	Other		Other	
Region	Employees			Manufacturing	Retail	Services	Grand Total
Tunis	5-19	224	71	424	394	1553	2666
	20-99	53	54	218	78	467	870
	+100	30	23	72	13	149	287
	Total	307	148	714	485	2169	3823
Sfax	5-19	234	99	613	162	711	1819
	20-99	49	89	235	27	158	558
	+100	14	27	42	2	30	115
	Total	297	215	890	191	899	2492
Northeast	5-19	500	147	926	281	1686	3540
	20-99	81	251	600	22	438	1392
	+100	37	153	267	8	128	593
	Total	618	551	1793	311	2252	5525
South	5-19	360	237	557	208	1260	2622
Coast/West	20-99	37	335	332	7	258	969
	+100	15	172	129	3	82	401
	Total	412	744	1018	218	1600	3992
Interior	5-19	319	34	136	75	659	1223
	20-99	22	66	117	12	94	311
	+100	9	23	60	2	12	106
	Total	350	123	313	89	765	1640
Grand Total		1984	1781	4728	1294	7685	17472

Source : Institut National de la Statistique Tunisie.

Note: Adjustments using iterative proportional fitting were used in certain cells. Further adjustments were made in some cases to conform with the available sample frame.

Original sample design

		Food	Garments	Other		Other	
Region	Employees			Manufacturing	Retail	Services	Grand Total
Tunis	Small	5	5	6	15	20	51
	Medium	7	5	7	13	5	37
	Large	9	6	5	7	5	32
	Total	21	16	18	35	30	120
Sfax	Small	8	5	5	20	5	43
	Medium	10	9	11	12	5	47
	Large	7	9	7	1	6	30
	Total	25	23	23	33	16	120
Northeast	Small	9	5	18	6	20	58
	Medium	10	5	5	11	5	36
	Large	5	5	7	4	5	26
	Total	24	15	30	21	30	120
South	Small	6	5	9	6	19	45
Coast/West	Medium	5	12	9	4	5	35
	Large	8	20	5	2	5	40
	Total	19	37	23	12	29	120
Interior	Small	15	5	5	12	6	43
	Medium	11	13	10	6	5	45
	Large	5	11	11	1	4	32
	Total	31	29	26	19	15	120
Grand Total		120	120	120	120	120	600

Achieved sample (based on a2, a4a and a6b)

		Food	Garments	Other		Other	
Region	Employees			Manufacturing	Retail	Services	Grand Total
Tunis	5-19	0	5	7	15	19	46
	20-99	15	4	7	17	8	51
	+100	6	2	8	7	5	28
	Total	21	11	22	39	32	125
Sfax	5-19	3	2	7	17	13	42
	20-99	12	15	12	13	12	64
	+100	3	3	7	2	5	20
	Total	18	20	26	32	30	126
Northeast	5-19	9	5	20	7	22	63
	20-99	18	10	7	7	6	48
	+100	12	12	7	2	9	42
	Total	39	27	34	16	37	153
South	5-19	3	5	9	6	26	49
Coast/West	20-99	11	25	9	6	11	62
	+100	5	16	6	2	5	34
	Total	19	46	24	14	42	145
Interior	5-19	1	0	3	3	6	13
	20-99	0	0	10	3	5	18
	+100	2	1	4	1	4	12
	Total	3	1	17	7	15	43
Grand Total		100	105	123	108	156	592

A.7.3. Status codes

	TOTAL
Complete interviews (Total)	594
Complete interviews (not eligible for innovation)	161
Complete interviews (with innovation)	433
Complete interviews (eligible, but refused to answer innovation)	0
Incomplete interviews	30
Elegible in process	83
Refusals	259
Quota is met	1816
Out of target	574
Impossible to contact	1991
Ineligible - coop.	0
Refusal to the Screener	1460
Total	6807

	1.Elegible establishment (Correct name and address)	2522
	2. Elegible establishment (Different name but same address - the new firm/establishment bought the original firm/establishment)	24
Eligible	3. Elegible establishment (Different name but same address - the firm/establishment changed its name)	107
	4. Elegible establishment (Wrong address - the firm/establishmen has changed address and the address could be found)	128
	16. Panel firm - now less than five employees	0
a)	5. The establishment has less than 5 permanent full time employees	262
gible	6. The firm discontinued businesses	117
Ineligible	7. Not a business: private household	162
_	8. Ineligible activity: education, agriculture, finances, governments	33
	91. No reply (after having called in different days of the week and in different business hours)	1211
a ,	92. Line out of order	352
Unobtainable	93. No tone	14
otair	94. Phone number does not exist	0
Jnot	10. Answering machine	0
	11. Fax line - data line	18
	12. Wrong address/ moved away and could not get the new references	396
	13. Refuses to answer the screener	1460
	14. In process (the establishment is being called/ is being contacted - previous to ask the screener)	100
	151. Out of target - outside the covered regions, firm moved abroad	0
	152. Out of target - firm moved abroad	0
	153. Impossible to find	0
	154. Establishment is HQ without production or sales in the location	0
	·	0

A.7.4. Survey and item non-response

The number of completed interviews per contacted establishment was 0.09. This number is the result of two factors: explicit refusals to participate in the survey, as reflected by the rate of rejection (which includes rejections of the screener and the main survey) and the quality of the sample frame, as represented by the presence of ineligible units. The number of rejections per contact was 0.25.

A.7.5. Local agency team involved in the study and its comments on the implementation

Local agency team involved in the survey

O ,	•
Local agency	Name: EMRHOD
	Country: Tunisia
	Membership of international organisation:
	Activities since:
Name of Project Manager	
Name and position of other key	
persons of the project	
Enumerators involved	Enumerators:
Other staff involved	

Sampling frame

Characteristic of sample frame used	Variables: Name of establishment, address, activity, legal status, date of establish and registration, number of employees
Source	Guide Economique de la Tunisie (Institue for National Statistics) and Bureau van Dijk's Orbis database
Year of publication	2013
Comments on the quality of the sample frame	Guide Economique de la Tunisie did not include firm size information based on the number of employees and was used for small and medium strata. Bureau van Dijk's Orbis database was considered to have a full representation of large firms and was used for large stratum. Duplicate entries were removed, with preference for the sampling frame with available size information.
Year and organisation that conducted the last economic census	2011, National Instite of Statistics (INS)

Sample

Comments/problems on sectors	
and regions selected in the sample	
Comments on the response rate	
Comments on the sample design	

Fieldwork

Date of fieldwork	September 2013 – November 2014, with additional call-backs and data
	finalisation lasting until March 2015.
Country	Tunisia
Number of completed interviews	594
Problems found during fieldwork	
Other observations	

Questionnaires

Problems for the understanding of	
questions (write question number)	
Problems found in the navigability	None.
of questionnaires (for example,	
skip patterns)	
Comments on questionnaire length	
Suggestions or other comments on	
the questionnaires	

Quality control

Fieldwork monitoring

Data checking procedures	All verifications and consistency checks (for tablet-based interviews) were applied on PC-tablet applications. An error message pops up when entering a wrong value and some error messages show up in red for sensitive questions. EMRHOD conducted ongoing callbacks at the direction of Gallup throughout the fieldwork period. Callbacks were also made to correct invalid responses, to get more detail on the string variables and to complete questions the respondent originally declined to answer.
Number of respondents selected	
for back-checking	
Selection procedures	
Who carried out back-checks?	
Mode of contact	
Number of completed interviews	
back-checked	
Number of non-responses back-	
checked	
Results of alternative method of	
contacting non-respondents	
Description of what was covered in	
the back-checks	
Number of completed interviews	
that were rejected and why	

Database

Data entry program chosen	CAPI
Comments on the data entry	Raw data was directly uploaded from the tablets and computer CATI system,
program	eliminating the need for data entry.
Comments on the data cleaning	Cleaning was done to correct variable names and value labels, as well as to insert the 'control variables' (a1-a6) that did not come with the data. Data from call backs was merged into the dataset, as were translated string variables.

Country situation

General aspects of economic, political or social situation of the	
country that could affect the	
results of the survey	
Relevant country events that	
occurred during fieldwork	
Other aspects	

A.8 West Bank and Gaza

A.8.1. Sampling structure and implementation

The first sampling frame was supplied by the World Bank and consisted of enterprises interviewed in the World Bank's 2006 Enterprise Survey, with available contacts matched and verified against the Palestinian Central Bureau of Statistics' (PCBS) establishment census. The World Bank required that attempts should be made to re-interview establishments responding to the 2006 ES survey where they were within the selected geographical regions and met eligibility criteria. That sample is referred to as the Panel. The second frame for West Bank and Gaza was the PCBS Establishment Census 2012.

The quality of the frame was assessed at the onset of the project. The frame proved to be useful though it showed positive rates of non-eligibility, repetition, non-existent units, etc. These problems are typical of establishment surveys, but given the impact these inaccuracies may have on the results, adjustments

were needed when computing the appropriate weights for individual observations. The percentage of confirmed non-eligible units as a proportion of the total number of contacts to complete the survey was 3.9% (40 out of 572 establishments).

Regional stratification was defined in two regions. These regions are West Bank; and Gaza.

Sampling frame

Fresh

Region	Employees	Manufacturing	Retail	Other Services	Grand Total
West Bank	5-19	2242	1109	1259	4180
	20-99	200	34	97	308
	100+	21	2	9	29
	Total	2463	1145	1365	4973
Gaza	5-19	531	518	565	1614
	20-99	29	3	33	65
	100+	3	0	1	4
	Total	563	521	599	1683
Grand Total		3026	1666	1964	6656

Source: PCBS Establishment Census 2012.

Panel

Region	Employees	Manufacturing	Retail	Other Services	Grand Total
West Bank	5-19	34	0	11	45
	20-99	12	0	8	20
	100+	9	0	1	10
	Total	55	0	20	75
Gaza	5-19	5	0	4	9
	20-99	22	0	3	25
	100+	7	0	0	7
	Total	34	0	7	41
Grand Total		89	0	27	116

Source: WB 2006 Enterprise Survey.

Note: Available contacts were matched and verified against PCBS Establishment Census. Full numbers can be found below.

Universe

Fresh

Region	Employees	Manufacturing	Retail	Other Services	Grand Total
West Bank	5-19	2242	1109	1259	4180
	20-99	200	34	97	308
	100+	21	2	9	29
	Total	2463	1145	1365	4973
Gaza	5-19	531	518	565	1614
	20-99	29	3	33	65
	100+	3	0	1	4
	Total	563	521	599	1683
Grand Total		3026	1666	1964	6656

Source: PCBS Establishment Census 2012.

Panel

Region	Employees	Manufacturing	Retail	Other Services	Grand Total
West Bank	5-19	104	0	25	129
	20-99	67	0	14	81
	100+	14	0	4	18
	Total	184	0	43	228
Gaza	5-19	97	0	26	123
	20-99	37	0	7	44
	100+	6	0	0	6
	Total	140	0	33	173
Grand Total		325	0	76	401

Source: WB 2006 Enterprise Survey.

Original sample design

Region	Employees	Manufacturing	Retail	Other Services	Grand Total
West Bank	5-19	36	54	40	130
	20-99	19	34	29	82
	100+	17	2	9	28
	Total	72	90	78	240
Gaza	5-19	10	27	8	45
	20-99	32	3	33	68
	100+	6	0	1	7
	Total	48	30	42	120
Grand Total		120	120	120	360

Achieved sample (based on a2, a4a and a6a)

Region	Employees	Manufacturing	Retail	Other Services	Grand Total
West Bank	5-19	54	76	60	190
	20-99	16	19	43	78
	100+	16	6	5	27
	Total	86	101	108	295
Gaza	5-19	15	32	23	70
	20-99	31	6	24	61
	100+	3	0	5	8
	Total	49	38	52	139
Grand Total		135	139	160	434

A.8.2. Status codes

	TOTAL
Complete interviews (Total)	435
Complete interviews (not eligible for innovation)	250
Complete interviews (with innovation)	177
Complete interviews (eligible, but refused to answer innovation)	8
Incomplete interviews	8
Elegible in process	0
Refusals	38
Quota is met	1
Out of target	40
Impossible to contact	8
Ineligible - coop.	0
Refusal to the Screener	42
Total	572

	1.Elegible establishment (Correct name and address)	482
	2. Elegible establishment (Different name but same address - the new firm/establishment bought the original firm/establishment)	0
Eligible	3. Elegible establishment (Different name but same address - the firm/establishment changed its name)	0
	4. Elegible establishment (Wrong address - the firm/establishmen has changed address and the address could be found)	0
	16. Panel firm - now less than five employees	0
4)	5. The establishment has less than 5 permanent full time employees	16
gible	6. The firm discontinued businesses	17
Ineligible	7. Not a business: private household	1
_	8. Ineligible activity: education, agriculture, finances, governments	6
	91. No reply (after having called in different days of the week and in different business hours)	0
a ,	92. Line out of order	0
Unobtainable	93. No tone	
otair	94. Phone number does not exist	0
Jnok	10. Answering machine	0
	11. Fax line - data line	0
	12. Wrong address/ moved away and could not get the new references	8
	13. Refuses to answer the screener	42
	14. In process (the establishment is being called/ is being contacted - previous to ask the screener)	6
	151. Out of target - outside the covered regions, firm moved abroad	0
	152. Out of target - firm moved abroad	0
	153. Impossible to find	0
	154. Establishment is HQ without production or sales in the location	0
	156. Duplicate in the sample	0
	Total	578

A.8.3. Survey and item non-response

The number of completed interviews per contacted establishment was 0.70. This number is the result of two factors: explicit refusals to participate in the survey, as reflected by the rate of rejection (which includes rejections of the screener and the main survey) and the quality of the sampling frame, as represented by the presence of ineligible units. The number of rejections per contact was 0.19.

A.8.4. Local agency team involved in the study and its comments on the implementation

Local agency team involved in the survey

Local agency	Palestinian Central Bureau of Statistics (PCBS)	
Name of Project Manager		
Name and position of other key persons of the project		
Enumerators involved	Enumerators: 16 - West Bank, 9 – Gaza strip	
Other staff involved	Fieldwork coordinators: 2 Editing: ~3	
	Data entry: ~1	

Sampling frame

Characteristic of sample frame	Variables: Name of establishment, address, activity (ISIC Rev. 4 converted to
used	3.1), telephone number, number of employees
Source	Palestinian Central Bureau of Statistics Establishment Census
Year of publication	2012
Comments on the quality of the	Very good quality as source was the most recent establishment census,
sample frame	which was finalised in 2013 (dated 2012)
Year and organisation that	2012, Palestinian Central Bureau of Statistics
conducted the last economic	
census	
Other sources for companies	None
statistics	

Mode of implementation

Use of CAPI/CATI technology	All interviews were administered face-to-face by enumerators.
	A portion of the interviews (those in West Bank) were conducted using 12 electronic tablet devices (CAPI). In data collection phase, IT coordinator(s) were available firsthand to implement updates of the application on PCTablets and data entry application.
	All verifications and consistency checks (for tablet-based interviews) were applied on PC-tablet applications. An error message pops up when entering a wrong value and some error messages show up in red for sensitive questions.
	Project coordinator in PCBS and committee members as well, tested the CAPI application by entering pilot questionnaires.
	In general, PC-Tablets were friendly user and easy to be familiar with. The remaining portion of the survey sample was completed using paper questionnaires.
	The questionnaire contains Main Questionnaire and Innovation ones, for both <i>Manufacturing</i> and <i>Services Activities</i> . PCBS staff redesigned paper Questionnaire to be 30 papers out of 100 papers. In order to be compatible and friendly user for Gaza team, PCBS staff and interviewers as well, and to make it easier to be used in training, and to increase response.
Additional comments	In addition to the main body of the questionnaire, an 'innovation module' was administered to selected, eligible establishments.

Sample

Comments/problems on sectors	Sample size:
and regions selected in the sample	The sample size is estimated from the World Bank to be about 360

	completed questionnaires. Moreover, PCBS added a random sample to					
	compensate the non-response enterprise, so the total sample size after the					
	addition is 857 projects.					
	Sample Design Strata:					
	·					
	Besides, projects classification enterprise, there was another classification					
	according to the region and the economical activity (ISIC2), this classification					
	improves the representation of the sample.					
Comments on the response rate	As Gallup do need 360 completed interviews of required enterprises, and as					
	high number of non-response and in-complete is expected, additional					
	samples were prepared and uploaded more than once.					
Comments on the sample design	Sample design strata:					
	Beside projects classification enterprise, there was another classification					
	according to the region and the economical activity (ISIC 2-digit), this					
	classification improves the representation of the sample.					

Fieldwork

Date of fieldwork	June – August 2013, with additional call-backs and data finalisation lasting						
	until September 2013.						
Economy	West Bank and Gaza						
Number of completed interviews	434						
Problems found during fieldwork	 Long period of interview since the questionnaire is long; entrepreneurs are used to PCBS questionnaires that do not exceed 13 pages in most cases. Interviewers had to wait for long periods in order to complete questionnaires while respondents had to do other things. Committee Members of PCBS had in many cases to visit non-responding enterprises accompanied by interviewers to convincing them in completing the questionnaire. Filling in the data on financial issues cause the delay for the interview, that mostly caused rejection. Because of high rate of incomplete and rejection we had to upload additional sample. Some enterprises refused to disclose financial data, which made it necessary for supervisors and fieldwork coordinator to intervene to convince entrepreneurs to disclose such data. Entrepreneurs were unavailable in some cases, despite making appointments beforehand, which made interviewer revisit enterprises. 						
Other observations							

Questionnaires

Problems for the understanding of	
questions (write question number)	
Problems found in the navigability	
of questionnaires (for example,	
skip patterns)	
Comments on questionnaire length	
Suggestions or other comments on	
the questionnaires	

Quality control

Fieldwork monitoring	
Data checking procedures	
Number of respondents selected	
for back-checking	
Selection procedures	
Who carried out back-checks?	
Mode of contact	

Number of completed interviews back-checked	
Number of non-responses back-	
checked	
Results of alternative method of	
contacting non-respondents	
Description of what was covered in	
the back-checks	
Number of completed interviews	
that were rejected and why	

Database

Data entry program chosen	CAPI and entry through a special data entry application used over PC
Comments on the data entry	
program	
Comments on the data cleaning	

Country situation

General aspects of economic, political or social situation of the country that could affect the	
results of the survey	
Relevant country events that	
occurred during fieldwork	
Other aspects	

A.9 Yemen

A.9.1. Sampling structure and implementation

The first sampling frame was supplied by the World Bank and consisted of enterprises interviewed in the 2010 Enterprise Survey in Yemen. The World Bank required that attempts should be made to reinterview establishments responding to the ES 2010 where they were within the selected geographical regions and met eligibility criteria. That sample is referred to as the Panel. The source of the second sample frame, referred to as the Fresh sample here, was the 2010 Establishment Census, obtained from the Central Statistics Office, with updates and validation provided by the local consultant, Yemen Polling Center (YPC).

The quality of the frame was assessed at the onset of the project. The frame proved to be useful though it showed positive rates of non-eligibility, repetition, non-existent units, etc. These problems are typical of establishment surveys, but given the impact these inaccuracies may have on the results, adjustments were needed when computing the appropriate weights for individual observations. The percentage of confirmed non-eligible units as a proportion of the total number of contacts to complete the survey was 13.3% (174 out of 1141 establishments).

Regional stratification was defined in 6 regions. These regions are Amanat Al-Asemah, Aden, Hudaydah, Hadhramawt, Ibb and Taizz. Other governorates were not covered by the survey.

Governorate	ES region					
Amanat Al Asemah	Amanat Al Asamah (Sanaa)					
Sanaa	Amanat Al-Asemah (Sanaa)					
Aden	Aden					
Hudaydah	Hudaydah					
Hadhramawt	Hadhramawt					
Ibb	Ibb					
Taizz	Taizz					
Amran						
Abyan						
Ad Dali]					
Al Bayda						
Al Jawf						
Al Mahrah						
Al Mahwit	Not covered					
Dhamar	Not covered					
Hajjah						
Lahij						
Marib						
Raymah						
Sadah						
Shabwah						

Sampling frame

Region	Employees	Manufacturing	Retail	Other Services	Grand Total
Amanat Al-Asemah (Sanna)	5-19	1510	791	2296	4597
	20-99	73	44	180	297
	100+	11	5	13	29
	Total	1594	840	2489	4923
Aden	5-19	346	186	659	119
	20-99	19	13	50	8
	100+	9	0	11	2
	Total	374	199	720	129
Hudaydah	5-19	611	228	841	168
•	20-99	26	13	44	8
	100+	12	1	3	1
	Total	649	242	888	177
Hadhramawt	5-19	366	104	530	100
	20-99	24	13	21	5
	100+	7	0	3	1
	Total	397	117	554	106
Ibb	5-19	211	170	356	73
	20-99	4	12	11	2
	100+	0	0	0	
	Total	215	182	367	76
Taizz	5-19	519	239	776	153
	20-99	18	12	25	5
	100+	17	0	3	2
	Total	554	251	804	160
Grand Total		3783	1831	5822	1143

Source: 2010 Establishment Census

Panel

Region	Employees	Manufacturing	Retail	Other Services	Grand Total
Amanat Al-Asemah (Sanna)	5-19	31	6	24	61
	20-99	20	13	14	47
	100+	10	1	12	23
	Total	61	20	50	131
Aden	5-19	23	17	10	50
	20-99	12	3	14	29
	100+	5	1	3	9
	Total	40	21	27	88
Hudaydah	5-19	39	16	12	67
	20-99	10	1	8	19
	100+	5	0	0	5
	Total	54	17	20	91
Hadhramawt	5-19	13	7	6	26
	20-99	9	0	3	12
	100+	6	1	0	7
	Total	28	8	9	45
Ibb	5-19	19	6	9	34
	20-99	3	0	3	6
	100+	0	0	0	0
	Total	22	6	12	40
Taizz	5-19	33	14	11	58
	20-99	7	0	9	16
	100+	6	0	2	8
	Total	46	14	22	82
Grand Total		251	86	140	477

Source: World Bank Enterprise Survey Yemen, 2010.

Original sample design

Region	Employees	Manufacturing	Retail	Other Services	Grand Total
Amanat Al-Asemah (Sanna)	5-19	16	23	41	80
	20-99	5	34	5	44
	100+	15	4	17	36
	Total	36	61	63	160
Aden	5-19	4	4	4	12
	20-99	4	7	4	15
	100+	11	1	9	21
	Total	19	12	17	48
Hudaydah	5-19	4	4	4	12
	20-99	4	7	4	15
	100+	12	1	2	15
	Total	20	12	10	42
Hadhramawt	5-19	4	4	4	12
	20-99	4	7	4	15
	100+	9	1	2	12
	Total	17	12	10	39
Ibb	5-19	4	4	4	12
	20-99	4	7	4	15
	100+	0	0	0	0
	Total	8	11	8	27
Taizz	5-19	4	4	4	12
	20-99	4	8	4	16
	100+	12	0	4	16
	Total	20	12	12	44
Grand Total		120	120	120	360

Achieved sample (based on a2, a4a and a6a)

Region	Employees	Manufacturing	Retail	Other Services	Grand Total
Amanat Al-Asemah (Sanna)	5-19	19	42	29	90
	20-99	15	12	16	43
	100+	7	0	9	16
	Total	41	54	54	149
Aden	5-19	7	9	2	18
	20-99	9	3	11	23
	100+	1	0	2	3
	Total	17	12	15	44
Hudaydah	5-19	5	10	6	21
	20-99	8	2	8	18
	100+	2	0	0	2
	Total	15	12	14	41
Hadhramawt	5-19	10	9	5	24
	20-99	12	3	9	24
	100+	5	0	0	5
	Total	27	12	14	53
Ibb	5-19	8	5	2	15
	20-99	3	0	2	5
	100+	0	0	0	0
	Total	11	5	4	20
Taizz	5-19	5	5	8	18
	20-99	10	1	12	23
	100+	4	0	1	5
	Total	19	6	21	46
Grand Total		130	101	122	353

Note: due to issues of high observed weights and strata-related non-response, weights were collapsed over location, pooling observatons for Aden, Hudaydah and Taizz.

A.9.2. Status codes

	TOTAL	FRESH	PANEL
Complete interviews (Total)	353	216	137
Complete interviews (not eligible for innovation)	138	93	45
Complete interviews (with innovation)	199	113	86
Complete interviews (eligible, but refused to answer innovation)	16	10	6
Incomplete interviews	0	0	0
Elegible in process	8	5	3
Refusals	96	57	39
Quota is met	0	0	0
Out of target	166	125	41
Impossible to contact	434	329	105
Ineligible - coop.	8	0	8
Refusal to the Screener	76	40	36
Total	1141	772	369

	1.Elegible establishment (Correct name and address)	127	59	68
Eligible	Elegible establishment (Different name but same address - the new firm/establishment bought the original firm/establishment)	1	1	0
	3. Elegible establishment (Different name but same address - the firm/establishment changed its name) 3. Elegible establishment changed its name)	46	31	15
Ш	4. Elegible establishment (Wrong address - the firm/establishmen has changed address and the address could be found)	256	187	69
	16. Panel firm - now less than five employees	27	0	27
4)	5. The establishment has less than 5 permanent full time employees	53	53	0
gible	6. The firm discontinued businesses	29	15	14
Ineligible	7. Not a business: private household	63	37	26
1	8. Ineligible activity: education, agriculture, finances, governments	21	20	1
	91. No reply (after having called in different days of the week and in different business hours)	73	46	27
	92. Line out of order	117	67	50
able	93. No tone	39	28	11
tain	94. Phone number does not exist	4	0	4
Unobtainable	10. Answering machine	0	0	0
	11. Fax line - data line	1	0	1
	12. Wrong address/ moved away and could not get the new references	200	188	12
	13. Refuses to answer the screener	76	40	36
14. In process (the establishment is being called/ is being contacted - previous to ask the screener)		0	0	0
	151. Out of target - outside the covered regions, firm moved abroad		0	0
	152. Out of target - firm moved abroad		0	3
	153. Impossible to find		0	5
	154. Establishment is HQ without production or sales in the location	0	0	0
	156. Duplicate in the sample	0	0	0
	Total	1141	772	369

A.9.3. Survey and item non-response

The number of completed interviews per contacted establishment was 0.37. This number is the result of two factors: explicit refusals to participate in the survey, as reflected by the rate of rejection (which

includes rejections of the screener and the main survey) and the quality of the sampling frame, as represented by the presence of ineligible units. The number of rejections per contact was 0.20.

A.9.4. Local agency team involved in the study and its comments on the implementation

Local agency team involved in the survey

Local agency	Name: Yemen Poling Center (YPC)
	Country: Yemen
	Membership of international organisation:
	Activities since:
Name of Project Manager	
Name and position of other key	
persons of the project	
Enumerators involved	
Other staff involved	

Sampling frame

Characteristic of sample frame used	
Source	World Bank Enterprise Survey Yemen 2010 and 2010 Establishment Census, Central Statistics Office
Year of publication	2010
Comments on the quality of the sample frame	
Year and organisation that conducted the last economic census	2010 Establishment Census, Central Statistics Office

Sample

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Comments/problems on sectors	
and regions selected in the sample	
Comments on the response rate	
Comments on the sample design	
Other comments	

Fieldwork

Date of fieldwork	March 2013 – July 2014
Country	Yemen
Number of completed interviews	353
Problems found during fieldwork	
Other observations	

Questionnaires

Problems for the understanding of questions (write question number)	
Problems found in the navigability	
of questionnaires (for example,	
skip patterns)	
Comments on questionnaire length	
Suggestions or other comments on	
the questionnaires	

Quality control

Fieldwork monitoring	
Data checking procedures	All verifications and consistency checks (for tablet-based interviews) were

	applied on PC-tablet applications. An error message pops up when entering
	a wrong value and some error messages show up in red for sensitive
	questions. Yemen Poling Center conducted ongoing callbacks at the
	·
	direction of Gallup throughout the fieldwork period. Callbacks were also
	made to correct invalid responses, to get more detail on the string variables
	and to complete questions the respondent originally declined to answer.
Number of respondents selected	
for back-checking	
Selection procedures	
Who carried out back-checks?	
Mode of contact	
Number of completed interviews	
back-checked	
Number of non-responses back-	
checked	
Results of alternative method of	
contacting non-respondents	
Description of what was covered in	
the back-checks	
Number of completed interviews	
that were rejected and why	
,	
Databasa	
Database	
Data entry program chosen	
Comments on the data entry	
program	
Comments on the data cleaning	
Country situation	
General aspects of economic,	
political or social situation of the	
country that could affect the	
results of the survey	
Relevant country events that	
occurred during fieldwork	
Other aspects	
Results of alternative method of	
contacting non-respondents	
Description of what was covered in	
the back-checks	
Number of completed interviews	
that were rejected and why	
Database	
Data entry program chosen	
Comments on the data entry	
program	
Comments on the data cleaning	
Comments on the data cleaning	
Country situation	
General aspects of economic,	
political or social situation of the	
country that could affect the	
results of the survey	
Relevant country events that	
occurred during fieldwork	

Other aspects	